arXiv:0708.2960v3 [math.CA] 15 Mar 2010

Rational Solutions of the $A_5^{(1)}$ Painlevé Equation

Department of Engineering Science, Niihama National College of Technology,
7-1 Yagumo-chou, Niihama, Ehime, 792-8580.

By Kazuhide Matsuda

Abstract: We completely classify all of rational solutions of the $A_5^{(1)}$ Painlevé equation, which is a generalization of the fifth Painlevé equation. The rational solutions are classified to five by the Bäcklund transformation group.

Key words: the $A_5^{(1)}$ Painlevé equation; the affine Weyl group; the Bäcklund transformations; rational solutions.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 33E17; Secondary 37K10.

Introduction

Paul Painlevé and his school [1, 2] classified ordinary differential equations of the form $y'' = R(t, y, y')$ on the complex domain D, where $R(t, y, y')$ is rational in y and y', analytic in $t \in D$ and for each solution, all the singularities which are dependent on the initial conditions are poles. They found fifty equations of this type, forty four equations of which can be solved or can be integrated in terms of solutions of linear ordinary differential equations or elliptic functions. The remaining six equations are called the Painlevé equations and are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1: &\quad y'' = 6y^2 + t \\
P_2: &\quad y'' = 2y^3 + ty + \alpha \\
P_3: &\quad y'' = \frac{1}{y}(y')^2 - \frac{1}{t}y' + \frac{1}{t}(\alpha y^2 + \beta) + \gamma y^3 + \frac{\delta}{y} \\
P_4: &\quad y'' = \frac{1}{2y}(y')^2 + \frac{3}{2}y^3 + 4ty^2 + 2(t^2 - \alpha)y + \frac{\beta}{y} \\
P_5: &\quad y'' = \left(\frac{1}{2y} + \frac{1}{y-1}\right)(y')^2 - \frac{1}{t}y' + \frac{(y-1)^2}{t^2}\left(\alpha y + \frac{\beta}{y}\right) + \frac{\gamma}{t}y + \delta\frac{y(y+1)}{y-1} \\
P_6: &\quad y'' = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{y-1} + \frac{1}{y-t}\right)(y')^2 - \left(\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t-1} + \frac{1}{y-t}\right)y' \\
&\quad + \frac{y(y-1)(y-t)}{t^2(t-1)^2}\left(\alpha + \beta\frac{t}{y^2} + \gamma\frac{t-1}{(y-1)^2} + \delta\frac{t(t-1)}{(y-t)^2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are complex parameters.

Rational solutions of P_J $(J = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ were classified by Yablonski and Vorobev [17, 16], Gromak [4, 3], Murata [9], Kitaev, Law and McLeod [5] and Mazzoco [8]. Especially, Murata [9] classified all of rational solutions of the fourth Painlevé equation by using the Bäcklund transformations, which transform a solution into another solution of the same equation with different parameters. Kitaev, Law and McLeod [5] classified all of rational solutions of the fifth Painlevé equation in the same way as Murata [9].

P_J $(J = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ have the Bäcklund transformation group. It is shown by Okamoto [12], [13], [14], [15] that the Bäcklund transformation groups of the Painlevé equations except P_1 are isomorphic to the extended affine Weyl groups. For P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6, the Bäcklund transformation groups correspond to $A_1^{(1)}$, $A_1^{(1)} \bigoplus A_1^{(1)}$, $A_2^{(1)}$, $A_3^{(3)}$, $D_4^{(1)}$, respectively.

Noumi and Yamada [11] discovered the equations of type $A_l^{(1)}$, whose Bäcklund transformation groups are isomorphic to the extended affine Weyl group $\tilde{W}(A_l^{(1)})$. The equations of type $A_l^{(1)}$ are called the $A_l^{(1)}$ Painlevé equations. The $A_2^{(1)}$, $A_3^{(1)}$ Painlevé equations correspond to the fourth and fifth Painlevé equations, respectively. The $A_4^{(1)}$ and $A_5^{(1)}$ Painlevé equations are non-linear equations essentially with the fourth order and can be viewed as generalizations of the fourth and fifth Painlevé equations, respectively. In [6] and [7], we classified all of rational solutions of the $A_4^{(1)}$ Painlevé equation. In this paper, we completely classify all of rational solutions of the $A_5^{(1)}$ Painlevé equation.

Noumi and Yamada [11] defined the $A_5^{(1)}$ Painlevé equation by

$$(*)\begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0\left(f_1f_2 + f_1f_4 + f_3f_4 - f_2f_3 - f_2f_5 - f_4f_5\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right) f_0 + \alpha_0\left(f_2 + f_4\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_1' = f_1\left(f_2f_3 + f_2f_5 + f_4f_5 - f_3f_4 - f_3f_0 - f_5f_0\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right) f_1 + \alpha_1\left(f_3 + f_5\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_2' = f_2\left(f_3f_4 + f_3f_0 + f_5f_0 - f_4f_5 - f_4f_1 - f_0f_1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_4 - \alpha_0\right) f_2 + \alpha_2\left(f_4 + f_0\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_3' = f_3\left(f_4f_5 + f_4f_1 + f_0f_1 - f_5f_0 - f_5f_2 - f_1f_2\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_5 - \alpha_1\right) f_3 + \alpha_3\left(f_5 + f_1\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_4' = f_4\left(f_5f_0 + f_5f_2 + f_1f_2 - f_0f_1 - f_0f_3 - f_2f_3\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2\right) f_4 + \alpha_4\left(f_0 + f_2\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_5' = f_5\left(f_0f_1 + f_0f_3 + f_2f_3 - f_1f_2 - f_1f_4 - f_3f_4\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3\right) f_5 + \alpha_5\left(f_1 + f_3\right) \\ f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t, \quad \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $'$ is the differentiation with respect to t. In this paper, $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0 \le j \le 5}$ denotes the differential equation $(*)$. For the $A_5^{(1)}$ Painlevé equation, we consider the suffix of f_i and α_i as elements of $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$.

The Bäcklund transformation group of the $A_5^{(1)}$ Painlevé equation is generated by $s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5$ and π:

x	$s_0(x)$	$s_1(x)$	$s_2(x)$	$s_3(x)$	$s_4(x)$	$s_5(x)$	$\pi(x)$
f_0	f_0	$f_0-\alpha_1/f_1$	f_0	f_0	f_0	$f_0+\alpha_5/f_5$	f_1
f_1	$f_1+\alpha_0/f_0$	f_1	$f_1-\alpha_2/f_2$	f_1	f_1	f_1	f_2
f_2	f_2	$f_2+\alpha_1/f_1$	f_2	$f_2-\alpha_3/f_3$	f_2	f_2	f_3
f_3	f_3	f_3	$f_3+\alpha_2/f_2$	f_3	$f_3-\alpha_4/f_4$	f_3	f_4
f_4	f_4	f_4	f_4	$f_4+\alpha_3/f_3$	f_4	$f_4-\alpha_5/f_5$	f_5
f_5	$f_5-\alpha_0/f_0$	f_5	f_5	f_5	$f_5+\alpha_4/f_4$	f_5	f_0
α_0	$-\alpha_0$	$\alpha_0+\alpha_1$	α_0	α_0	α_0	$\alpha_0+\alpha_5$	α_1
α_1	$\alpha_1+\alpha_0$	$-\alpha_1$	$\alpha_1+\alpha_2$	α_1	α_1	α_1	α_2
α_2	α_2	$\alpha_2+\alpha_1$	$-\alpha_2$	$\alpha_2+\alpha_3$	α_2	α_2	α_3
α_3	α_3	α_3	$\alpha_3+\alpha_2$	$-\alpha_3$	$\alpha_3+\alpha_4$	α_3	α_4
α_4	α_4	α_4	α_4	$\alpha_4+\alpha_3$	$-\alpha_4$	$\alpha_4+\alpha_5$	α_5
α_5	$\alpha_5+\alpha_0$	α_5	α_5	α_5	$\alpha_5+\alpha_4$	$-\alpha_5$	α_0

If $f_i \equiv 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, we consider s_i as the identical transformation which is given by

$$s_i(f_j) = f_j \text{ and } s_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j \ (j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5).$$

The Bäcklund transformation group $\langle s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, \pi\rangle$ is isomorphic to the extended affine Weyl group $\tilde{W}(A_5^{(1)})$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we calculate the Laurent series of a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ near $t = \infty$, whose residues are expressed by the parameters α_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. From the Laurent series near $t = \infty$, we get three types of rational solution, Type A, Type B and Type C.

In Section 2, we compute the Laurent series of a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ near $t = 0$, whose residues are expressed by the parameters α_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. In Section 3, we calculate the Laurent series of a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ near $t = c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, whose residues are half integers.

In Section 4, we define the auxialliary function H for a rational solution of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ and calculate the Laurent series of H at $t = \infty,\ 0,\ c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Furthermore, we study relationship between a rational solution and H.

In Section 5, 6 and 7, we deal with necessary conditions for $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ to have a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type A, Type B and Type C, respectively.

In Section 8, we summarize necessary conditions and transform the parameters into the standard forms.

In Section 9, 10 and 11, we treatsufficient conditions $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ to have a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type A, Type B and Type C, respectively.

In Section 12, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ to have a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ and prove Main Theorems for Type A, Type B and type C, that is, Theorem 12.1, 12.3 and 12.5.

1 The Laurent Series of Rational Solutions at $t = \infty$

In this section, we suppose that $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ is a rational solution of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ and calculate the Laurent series of f_i $(i = 0,1,2,3,4,5)$ at $t = \infty$. Then, the residues of f_i $(i = 0,1,2,3,4,5)$ at $t = \infty$ are expressed by the parameters α_j $(j = 0,1,2,3,4,5)$. For the purpose, we consider the following seven cases:
(0) none of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ has a pole at $t = \infty$,
(1) one of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ has a pole at $t = \infty$,
(2) two of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$,
(3) three of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$,
(4) four of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$,
(5) five of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$,
(6) all of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$.

Since $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$ and $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$, the cases (0) and (1) are impossible.

1.1 Two of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ Have a Pole at $t = \infty$

In this subsection, we suppose that two of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and calculate the Laurent series of f_i $(0 \le i \le 5)$ at $t = \infty$ for $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$. Since $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$ and $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$, by π, we have only to consider the following two cases:
(1) for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+1} have a pole at $t = \infty$,
(2) for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+3} have a pole at $t = \infty$.

1.1.1 f_i, f_{i+1} Have a Pole at $t = \infty$

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose that $A_5^{(1)}$ has a rational solution $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ such that for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+1} have a pole at $t = \infty$ and $f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}$ are holomorphic at $t = \infty$. Then, f_i, f_{i+1} has a pole of order one. We denote this case by Type A (1).*

Proof. The proposition follows from the fact that $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$ and $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$. □

In order to compute the residues, we have

Proposition 1.2. *Suppose that $A_5^{(1)}$ has a rational solution $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ such that for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+1} have a pole at $t = \infty$ and $f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}$ are holomorphic at*

$t = \infty$. *Then,*

$$\begin{cases} f_i = t - (\alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+4})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+1} = t + (\alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+2} = \alpha_{i+2} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+3} = -\alpha_{i+3} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+4} = \alpha_{i+4} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+5} = -\alpha_{i+5} t^{-1} + \cdots\, . \end{cases}$$

Proof. By π, we assume that f_0, f_1 have a pole at $t = \infty$. Then, from Proposition 1.1, we set

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = a_{\infty,1} t + a_{\infty,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} a_{\infty,-k} t^{-k}, \\ f_1 = b_{\infty,1} t + b_{\infty,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} b_{\infty,-k} t^{-k}, \\ f_2 = c_{\infty,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} c_{\infty,-k} t^{-k}, \\ f_3 = d_{\infty,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} d_{\infty,-k} t^{-k}, \\ f_4 = f_{\infty,0} + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} f_{\infty,-k} t^{-k}. \end{cases}$$

Since $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$ and $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$, it follows that $a_{\infty,1} = b_{\infty,1} = 1$.

By comparing the coefficients of the term t^2 in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_2' = f_2 \left(f_3 f_4 + f_3 f_0 + f_5 f_0 - f_4 f_5 - f_4 f_1 - f_0 f_1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_4 - \alpha_0 \right) f_2 + \alpha_2 \left(f_4 + f_0 \right),$$

we have $c_{\infty,0} = 0$. Moreover, by comparing the coefficients of the term t in this equation, we get $c_{\infty,-1} = \alpha_2$.

By comparing the coefficients of the term t^2 in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_3' = f_3 \left(f_4 f_5 + f_4 f_1 + f_0 f_1 - f_5 f_0 - f_5 f_2 - f_1 f_2 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_5 - \alpha_1 \right) f_3 + \alpha_3 \left(f_5 + f_1 \right),$$

we have $d_{\infty,0} = 0$. Furthermore, by comparing the coefficients of the term t in this equation, we get $d_{\infty,-1} = -\alpha_3$.

By comparing the coefficients of the term t^2 in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_4' = f_4 \left(f_5 f_0 + f_5 f_2 + f_1 f_2 - f_0 f_1 - f_0 f_3 - f_2 f_3 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2 \right) f_4 + \alpha_4 \left(f_0 + f_2 \right),$$

we have $e_{\infty,0} = 0$. Moreover, by comparing the coefficients of the term t in this equation, we get $e_{\infty,-1} = \alpha_4$.

By comparing the coefficients of the term t^2 in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_5' = f_5 \left(f_0 f_1 + f_0 f_3 + f_2 f_3 - f_1 f_2 - f_1 f_4 - f_3 f_4 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 \right) f_5 + \alpha_5 \left(f_1 + f_3 \right),$$

we have $f_{\infty,0}=0$. Furthermore, by comparing the coefficients of the term t in this equation, we get $f_{\infty,-1}=-\alpha_5$.

Since $f_0+f_2+f_4=t$ and $f_1+f_3+f_5=t$, it follows that

$$a_{\infty,0}=0,\ a_{\infty,-1}=-\alpha_2-\alpha_4 \text{ and } b_{\infty,0}=0,\ b_{\infty,-1}=\alpha_3+\alpha_5,$$

respectively. □

In order to prove the uniqueness of the Laurent series, we have

Proposition 1.3. *Suppose that for $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$, there exists a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ such that for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+1} have a pole at $t=\infty$ and $f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}$ are holomorphic at $t=\infty$. Then, it is unique.*

Proof. By comparing the coefficients of the term $t^{-(k-2)}$ $(k\ge 2)$ in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_2'=f_2\left(f_3f_4+f_3f_0+f_5f_0-f_4f_5-f_4f_1-f_0f_1\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_4-\alpha_0\right)f_2+\alpha_2\left(f_4+f_0\right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}c_{\infty,-k}&=\frac{1}{2}(k-2)c_{\infty,-(k-2)}\\&\quad+\sum c_{\infty,-l}(d_{\infty,-m}e_{\infty,-n}+d_{\infty,-m}a_{\infty,-n}+f_{\infty,-m}a_{\infty,-n}\\&\qquad\qquad-e_{\infty,-m}f_{\infty,-n}-e_{\infty,-m}b_{\infty,-n}-a_{\infty,-m}b_{\infty,-n})\\&\quad+c_{\infty,-(k-2)}(d_{\infty,-1}+f_{\infty,-1}-e_{\infty,-1}-a_{\infty,-1}-b_{\infty,-1})\\&\quad+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_4-\alpha_0\right)c_{\infty,-(k-2)}+\alpha_2(e_{\infty,-(k-2)}+a_{\infty,-(k-2)}),\end{aligned}$$

where the sum extends over integers l,m,n for which $l+m+n=k-2$ and $l,m,n\ge 1$.

In the same way, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{\infty,-k} &= -\frac{1}{2}(k-2)d_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\
&\quad - \sum d_{\infty,-l}(e_{\infty,-m}f_{\infty,-n} + e_{\infty,-m}b_{\infty,-n} + a_{\infty,-m}b_{\infty,-n} \\
&\qquad\qquad - f_{\infty,-m}a_{\infty,-n} - f_{\infty,-m}c_{\infty,-n} - b_{\infty,-m}c_{\infty,-n}) \\
&\quad - d_{\infty,-(k-2)}(e_{\infty,-1} + a_{\infty,-1} + b_{\infty,-1} - f_{\infty,-1} - c_{\infty,-1}) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_5 - \alpha_1\right) d_{\infty,-(k-2)} - \alpha_3(f_{\infty,-(k-2)} + b_{\infty,-(k-2)}), \\
e_{\infty,-k} &= \frac{1}{2}(k-2)e_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\
&\quad + \sum e_{\infty,-l}(f_{\infty,-m}a_{\infty,-n} + f_{\infty,-m}c_{\infty,-n} + b_{\infty,-m}c_{\infty,-n} \\
&\qquad\qquad - a_{\infty,-m}b_{\infty,-n} - a_{\infty,-m}d_{\infty,-n} - c_{\infty,-m}d_{\infty,-n}) \\
&\quad + e_{\infty,-(k-2)}(f_{\infty,-1} + c_{\infty,-1} - a_{\infty,-1} - b_{\infty,-1} - d_{\infty,-1}) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2\right) e_{\infty,-(k-2)} + \alpha_4(a_{\infty,-(k-2)} + c_{\infty,-(k-2)}), \\
f_{\infty,-k} &= -\frac{1}{2}(k-2)f_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\
&\quad - \sum f_{\infty,-l}(a_{\infty,-m}b_{\infty,-n} + a_{\infty,-m}d_{\infty,-n} + c_{\infty,-m}d_{\infty,-n} \\
&\qquad\qquad - b_{\infty,-m}c_{\infty,-n} - b_{\infty,-m}d_{\infty,-n} - d_{\infty,-m}e_{\infty,-n}) \\
&\quad - f_{\infty,-(k-2)}(a_{\infty,-1} + b_{\infty,-1} + d_{\infty,-1} - c_{\infty,-1} - e_{\infty,-1}) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3\right) f_{\infty,-(k-2)} - \alpha_5(b_{\infty,-(k-2)} + d_{\infty,-(k-2)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $c_{\infty,-k}, d_{\infty,-k}, e_{\infty,-k}, f_{\infty,-k}$ $(k \geq 2)$ are inductively determined. Moreover, since $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$ and $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$, $a_{\infty,-k}, b_{\infty,-k}$ $(k \geq 2)$ are also inductively determined. □

1.1.2 f_i, f_{i+3} Have a Pole at $t = \infty$

We consider the case in which for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, f_i, f_{i+3} have a pole at $t = \infty$. We can prove Proposition 1.4, 1.5 and 1.6 in the same way as Proposition 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3, respectively.

Proposition 1.4. *Suppose that* $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ *has a rational solution* $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ *and for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, f_i, f_{i+3} *have a pole at* $t = \infty$ *and* $f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}$ *are holomorphic at* $t = \infty$*. Then,* f_i, f_{i+3} *have a pole of order one. We denote this case by Type A (2).*

Proposition 1.5. *Suppose that $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ has a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ and for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+3} have a pole at $t=\infty$ and $f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}$ are holomorphic at $t=\infty$. Then,*

$$\begin{cases} f_i = t + (\alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+1} = \alpha_{i+1} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+2} = -\alpha_{i+2} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+3} = t + (\alpha_{i+5} - \alpha_{i+1})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+4} = \alpha_{i+4} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+5} = -\alpha_{i+5} t^{-1} + \cdots . \end{cases}$$

Proposition 1.6. *Suppose that $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ has a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ and for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+3} have a pole at $t=\infty$ and $f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}$ are holomorphic at $t=\infty$. Then, it is unique.*

1.2 Three of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ Have a Pole at $t=\infty$

In this subsection, we treat the case in which three of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$. By π, we have only to consider the following three cases:
(1) for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, have a pole at $t=\infty$,
(2) for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+3}, have a pole at $t=\infty$,
(3) for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+4}, have a pole at $t=\infty$.

1.2.1 f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2} Have a Pole at $t=\infty$

Proposition 1.7. *For $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$, there exists no rational solution such that for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2} have a pole at $t=\infty$ and $f_{i+3}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}$ are holomorphic at $t=\infty$.*

Proof. By π, we assume that f_0, f_1, f_2 have a pole at $t=\infty$. Then, we set

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = a_{\infty,n_0} t^{n_0} + a_{\infty,n_0-1} t^{n_0-1} + \cdots , \\ f_1 = b_{\infty,n_1} t^{n_1} + b_{\infty,n_1-1} t^{n_1-1} + \cdots , \\ f_2 = c_{\infty,n_2} t^{n_2} + b_{\infty,n_2-1} t^{n_2-1} + \cdots , \\ f_3 = d_{\infty,0} + d_{\infty,-1} t^{-1} + \cdots , \\ f_4 = e_{\infty,0} + e_{\infty,-1} t^{-1} + \cdots , \\ f_5 = e_{\infty,0} + f_{\infty,-1} t^{-1} + \cdots , \end{cases}$$

where n_0, n_1, n_2 are integers and $n_0, n_1, n_2 \ge 1$ and $a_{\infty,n_0} b_{\infty,n_1} c_{\infty,n_2} \ne 0$.

By comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0\left(f_1f_2 + f_1f_4 + f_3f_4 - f_2f_3 - f_2f_5 - f_4f_5\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right) f_0 + \alpha_0\left(f_2 + f_4\right),$$

we have $a_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_2} = 0$, which is contradiction. □

1.2.2 f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+3} Have a Pole at $t = \infty$

Proposition 1.8. *For $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$, there exists no rational solution such that for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+3} have a pole at $t = \infty$.*

Proof. It can be proved in the same way as Proposition 1.7.

□

1.2.3 f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+4} Have a Pole at $t = \infty$

Proposition 1.9. *For $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$, there exists no rational solution such that for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+4} have a pole at $t = \infty$.*

Proof. It can be proved in the same way as Proposition 1.7.

□

1.3 Four of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ Have a Pole at $t = \infty$

In this subsection, we deal with the case where four of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$. By π, we have only to consider the following three cases:
(1) for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$,
(2) for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$,
(3) for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, $f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$.

1.3.1 $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ Have a Pole at $t = \infty$

Proposition 1.10. *Suppose that for $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$, there exists a rational solution such that for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and f_{i+4}, f_{i+5} are holomorphic at $t = \infty$. Then, $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole of order one at $t = \infty$. We denote this case by Type B.*

Proof. By π, we assume that f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 have a pole at $t = \infty$. Then, we set

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = a_{\infty,n_0} t^{n_0} + a_{\infty,n_0-1} t^{n_0-1} + \cdots , \\ f_1 = b_{\infty,n_1} t^{n_1} + b_{\infty,n_1-1} t^{n_1-1} + \cdots , \\ f_2 = c_{\infty,n_2} t^{n_2} + b_{\infty,n_2-1} t^{n_2-1} + \cdots , \\ f_3 = d_{\infty,n_3} t^{n_3} + d_{\infty,n_3-1} t^{n_3-1} + \cdots , \\ f_4 = e_{\infty,0} + e_{\infty,-1} t^{-1} + \cdots , \\ f_5 = e_{\infty,0} + f_{\infty,-1} t^{-1} + \cdots , \end{cases}$$

where n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3 are integers and $n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 1$ and $a_{\infty,n_0} b_{\infty,n_1} c_{\infty,n_2} d_{\infty,n_3} \neq 0$. Since $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$ and $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$, it follows that $n_0 = n_2$ and $n_1 = n_3$, respectively.

By comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_0' = f_0 \left(f_1 f_2 + f_1 f_4 + f_3 f_4 - f_2 f_3 - f_2 f_5 - f_4 f_5 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 \right) f_0 + \alpha_0 \left(f_2 + f_4 \right),$$

we have $b_{\infty,n_1} = d_{\infty,n_3}$, which implies that $n_1 = n_3 = 1$ and $b_{\infty,1} = d_{\infty,1} = \frac{1}{2}$, because $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$.

By comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_2' = f_2 \left(f_3 f_4 + f_3 f_0 + f_5 f_0 - f_4 f_5 - f_4 f_1 - f_0 f_1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_4 - \alpha_0 \right) f_2 + \alpha_2 \left(f_4 + f_0 \right),$$

we get $a_{\infty,n_0} = c_{\infty,n_2}$, which implies that $n_0 = n_2 = 1$ and $a_{\infty,1} = c_{\infty,1} = \frac{1}{2}$, because $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$. $\square$

In order to calculate the residues of the Laurent series, we have

Proposition 1.11. *Suppose that for $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 5}$, there exists a rational solution $(f_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 5}$ such that for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and f_{i+4}, f_{i+5} are holomorphic at $t = \infty$. Then,*

$$\begin{cases} f_i = \frac{1}{2} t + \left(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - 2\alpha_{i+4} - \alpha_{i+5} \right) t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2} t + \left(-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4} \right) t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+2} = \frac{1}{2} t + \left(-\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5} \right) t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+3} = \frac{1}{2} t + \left(\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+4} + 2\alpha_{i+5} \right) t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+4} = 2\alpha_{i+4} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+5} = -2\alpha_{i+5} t^{-1} + \cdots . \end{cases}$$

Proof. By π, we assume that f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 have a pole at $t = \infty$. By comparing the coefficients of the terms t^2 and t in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_4' = f_4 \left(f_5 f_0 + f_5 f_2 + f_1 f_2 - f_0 f_1 - f_0 f_3 - f_2 f_3\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2\right) f_4 + \alpha_4 \left(f_0 + f_2\right),$$

we have $e_{\infty,0} = 0$ and $e_{\infty,-1} = 2\alpha_4$, respectively.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms t^2 and t in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_5' = f_5 \left(f_0 f_1 + f_0 f_3 + f_2 f_3 - f_1 f_2 - f_1 f_4 - f_3 f_4\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3\right) f_5 + \alpha_5 \left(f_1 + f_3\right),$$

we get $f_{\infty,0} = 0$ and $f_{\infty,-1} = -2\alpha_5$, respectively.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms t^2 and t in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_0' = f_0 \left(f_1 f_2 + f_1 f_4 + f_3 f_4 - f_2 f_3 - f_2 f_5 - f_4 f_5\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right) f_0 + \alpha_0 \left(f_2 + f_4\right),$$

we have $b_{\infty,0} = d_{\infty,0} = 0$ and

$$d_{\infty,-1} - b_{\infty,-1} = 2(\alpha_0 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5),$$

which implies that

$$b_{\infty,-1} = -\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_4, \ d_{\infty,-1} = \alpha_0 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5,$$

because $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms t^2 and t in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_1' = f_1 \left(f_2 f_3 + f_2 f_5 + f_4 f_5 - f_3 f_4 - f_3 f_0 - f_5 f_0\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right) f_1 + \alpha_1 \left(f_3 + f_5\right),$$

we get $a_{\infty,0} = c_{\infty,0} = 0$ and

$$c_{\infty,-1} - a_{\infty,-1} = 2(-\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5),$$

which implies that

$$a_{\infty,-1} = \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 - 2\alpha_4 - \alpha_5, \ c_{\infty,-1} = -\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5,$$

because $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$.

□

In order to prove the uniqueness of the Laurent series, we have

Proposition 1.12. *Suppose that for $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$, there exists a rational solution such that for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ and f_{i+4}, f_{i+5} are holomorphic at $t=\infty$. Then, it is unique.*

Proof. By π, we assume that f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 have a pole at $t=\infty$. From Proposition 1.11, we set

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = \frac{1}{2}t + a_{\infty,-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} a_{\infty,-k}t^{-k} \\ f_1 = \frac{1}{2}t + b_{\infty,-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} b_{\infty,-k}t^{-k} \\ f_2 = \frac{1}{2}t + c_{\infty,-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} c_{\infty,-k}t^{-k} \\ f_3 = \frac{1}{2}t + d_{\infty,-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} d_{\infty,-k}t^{-k} \\ f_4 = d_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} e_{\infty,-k}t^{-k} \\ f_5 = f_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} f_{\infty,-k}t^{-k} \cdots , \end{cases}$$

where $a_{\infty,-1}$, $b_{\infty,-1}$, $c_{\infty,-1}$, $d_{\infty,-1}$, $e_{\infty,-1}$, $f_{\infty,-1}$ have been determined in Proposition 1.11.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms $t^{-(k-2)}$ $(k\geq 2)$ in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_4' = f_4\left(f_5f_0 + f_5f_2 + f_1f_2 - f_0f_1 - f_0f_3 - f_2f_3\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2\right)f_4 + \alpha_4\left(f_0 + f_2\right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{-k} &= (k-2)e_{-(k-2)} \\ &\quad + 2\sum(e_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m} - e_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m} - e_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m}) \\ &\quad + 4\sum(e_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m}a_{\infty,-n} - e_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m}d_{\infty,-n}) \\ &\quad + 2\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right)e_{\infty,-(k-2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first sum extends over positive integers l, m for which $l+m=k-3$, and the second sum extends over positive integers l, m, n for which $l+m+n=k-2$. Then, $e_{\infty,-k}$ $(k\geq 2)$ are inductively determined.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms $t^{-(k-2)}$ $(k\geq 2)$ in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_5' = f_5\left(f_0f_1 + f_0f_3 + f_2f_3 - f_1f_2 - f_1f_4 - f_3f_4\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3\right)f_5 + \alpha_5\left(f_1 + f_3\right),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}f_{\infty,-k} &= -(k-2)f_{\infty,-(k-2)}\\ &\quad - 2\sum(f_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m} + f_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m} - f_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m})\\ &\quad - 4\sum(f_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m}b_{\infty,-n} - f_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m}e_{\infty,-n})\\ &\quad - 2\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right) f_{\infty,-(k-2)},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f_{\infty,-k}$ $(k \geq 2)$ are inductively determined.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms $t^{-(k-2)}$ $(k \geq 2)$ in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0\left(f_1f_2 + f_1f_4 + f_3f_4 - f_2f_3 - f_2f_5 - f_4f_5\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right) f_0 + \alpha_0\left(f_2 + f_4\right),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}b_{\infty,-k} - d_{\infty,-k} &= -2(k-2)a_{\infty,-(k-2)} - 2e_{\infty,-k} + f_{\infty,-k}\\ &\quad - 4\sum(a_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m} + d_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m})\\ &\quad - 8\sum(a_{\infty,-l}b_{\infty,-m}c_{\infty,-n} - a_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m}f_{\infty,-n})\\ &\quad - 4\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right) a_{\infty,-k},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $b_{\infty,-k},\ d_{\infty,-k}$ $(k \geq 2)$ are inductively determined, because $f_1+f_3+f_5 = t$.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms $t^{-(k-2)}$ $(k \geq 2)$ in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_1' = f_1\left(f_2f_3 + f_2f_5 + f_4f_5 - f_3f_4 - f_3f_0 - f_5f_0\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right) f_1 + \alpha_1\left(f_3 + f_5\right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}c_{\infty,-k} - a_{\infty,-k} &= -2(k-2)b_{\infty,-k}\\ &\quad - 4\sum e_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m}\\ &\quad - 8\sum(b_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m}f_{\infty,-n} - b_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m}a_{\infty,-n})\\ &\quad - 4\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5 b_{\infty,-(k-2)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{\infty,-k},\ c_{\infty,-k}$ $(k \geq 2)$ are inductively determined, because $f_0+f_2+f_4 = t$.

□

1.3.2 $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}$ Have a Pole at $t = \infty$

Proposition 1.13. *Suppose that for* $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$*, there exists a rational solution such that for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$*,* $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}$ *have a pole at* $t = \infty$ *and* f_{i+3}, f_{i+5} *are holomorphic at* $t = \infty$*. Then,* $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ *have a pole of order one at* $t = \infty$*. We denote this case by Type A (3).*

Proof. By π, we assume that f_0, f_1, f_2, f_4 have a pole at $t = \infty$. Then, we set

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = a_{\infty,n_0}t^{n_0} + a_{\infty,n_0-1}t^{n_0-1} + \cdots , \\ f_1 = b_{\infty,n_1}t^{n_1} + b_{\infty,n_1-1}t^{n_1-1} + \cdots , \\ f_2 = c_{\infty,n_2}t^{n_2} + b_{\infty,n_2-1}t^{n_2-1} + \cdots , \\ f_3 = d_{\infty,0} + d_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \cdots , \\ f_4 = e_{\infty,n_4}t^{n_4} + e_{\infty,n_4-1}t^{-1} + \cdots , \\ f_5 = f_{\infty,0} + f_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \cdots , \end{cases}$$

where n_0, n_1, n_2, n_4 are integers and $n_0, n_1, n_2, n_4 \geq 1$ and $a_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_2}e_{\infty,n_4} \neq 0$. Since $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$, it follows that $n_1 = 1$ and $b_{\infty,1} = 1$.

By comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0(f_1f_2 + f_1f_4 + f_3f_4 - f_2f_3 - f_2f_5 - f_4f_5) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right)f_0 + \alpha_0(f_2 + f_4),$$

we have $n_2 = n_4$ and $c_{\infty,n_2} + e_{\infty,n_4} = 0$.

By comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_2' = f_2(f_3f_4 + f_3f_0 + f_5f_0 - f_4f_5 - f_4f_1 - f_0f_1) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_4 - \alpha_0\right)f_2 + \alpha_2(f_4 + f_0),$$

we get $n_0 = n_4$ and $a_{\infty,n_0} + e_{\infty,n_4} = 0$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$n_0 = n_2 = n_4 = 1,\ a_{\infty,1} = c_{\infty,1} = 1,\ e_{\infty,1} = -1,$$

because $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$. □

In order to compute the residues of the Laurent series, we have

Proposition 1.14. *Suppose that for* $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$*, there exists a rational solution such that for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$*,* $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}$ *have a pole at* $t = \infty$ *and* f_{i+3}, f_{i+5} *are* *holomorphic at* $t=\infty$. *Then,*

$$\begin{cases} f_i = t + \left(-\alpha_{i+2} - 2\alpha_{i+3} - \alpha_{i+4}\right) t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+1} = t + \left(-\alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}\right) t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+2} = t + \left(\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+4} + 2\alpha_{i+5}\right) t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+3} = \alpha_{i+3} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+4} = -t + \left(-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} + 2\alpha_{i+3} - 2\alpha_{i+5}\right) t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+5} = -\alpha_{i+5} t^{-1} + \cdots . \end{cases}$$

Proof. By π, we assume that f_0, f_1, f_2, f_4 have a pole at $t=\infty$. From Proposition 1.13, we set

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = t + a_{\infty,0} + a_{\infty,-1} t^{-1} + \cdots , \\ f_1 = t + b_{\infty,0} + b_{\infty,-1} t^{-1} + \cdots , \\ f_2 = t + c_{\infty,0} + c_{\infty,-1} t^{-1} + \cdots , \\ f_3 = d_{\infty,0} + d_{\infty,-1} t^{-1} + \cdots , \\ f_4 = -t + e_{\infty,0} + e_{\infty,-1} t^{-1} + \cdots , \\ f_5 = f_{\infty,0} + f_{\infty,-1} t^{-1} + \cdots . \end{cases}$$

By comparing the coefficients of the terms t^2 and t in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_3' = f_3 \left(f_4 f_5 + f_4 f_1 + f_0 f_1 - f_5 f_0 - f_5 f_2 - f_1 f_2\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_5 - \alpha_1\right) f_3 + \alpha_3 \left(f_5 + f_1\right),$$

we have $d_{\infty,0} = 0$ and $d_{\infty,-1} = \alpha_3$, respectively.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms t^2 and t in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_5' = f_5 \left(f_0 f_1 + f_0 f_3 + f_2 f_3 - f_1 f_2 - f_1 f_4 - f_3 f_4\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3\right) f_5 + \alpha_5 \left(f_1 + f_3\right),$$

we get $f_{\infty,0} = 0$ and $f_{\infty,-1} = -\alpha_5$, respectively. Therefore, since $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$, it follows that $b_{\infty,0} = 0$ and $b_{\infty,-1} = -\alpha_3 + \alpha_5$.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms t^2 and t in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_0' = f_0 \left(f_1 f_2 + f_1 f_4 + f_3 f_4 - f_2 f_3 - f_2 f_5 - f_4 f_5\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right) f_0 + \alpha_0 \left(f_2 + f_4\right),$$

we have $c_{\infty,0} + e_{\infty,0} = 0$ and $c_{\infty,-1} + e_{\infty,-1} = \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4$, respectively.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms t^2 and t in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_2' = f_2 \left(f_3 f_4 + f_3 f_0 + f_5 f_0 - f_4 f_5 - f_4 f_1 - f_0 f_1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_4 - \alpha_0\right) f_2 + \alpha_2 \left(f_4 + f_0\right),$$

we get $a_{\infty,0} + c_{\infty,0} = 0$ and $a_{\infty,-1} + c_{\infty,-1} = -\alpha_0 - \alpha_4 - 2\alpha_5$, respectively.

Therefore, since $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$, it follows that

$$\begin{cases} a_{\infty,-1} = -\alpha_2 - 2\alpha_3 - \alpha_4, \\ c_{\infty,-1} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5, \\ e_{\infty,-1} = -\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 - 2\alpha_5. \end{cases}$$

□

In order to calculate the uniqueness of the Laurent series, we have

Proposition 1.15. *Suppose that for $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$, there exists a rational solution such that for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and f_{i+3}, f_{i+5} are holomorphic at $t = \infty$. Then, it is unique.*

Proof. By π, we assume that f_0, f_1, f_2, f_4 have a pole at $t = \infty$. From Proposition 1.14, we set

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = t + a_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} a_{\infty,-k}t^{-k}, \\ f_1 = t + b_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} b_{\infty,-k}t^{-k}, \\ f_2 = t + c_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} c_{\infty,-k}t^{-k}, \\ f_3 = d_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} d_{\infty,-k}t^{-k}, \\ f_4 = -t + e_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} e_{\infty,-k}t^{-k}, \\ f_5 = f_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty} f_{\infty,-k}t^{-k}, \end{cases}$$

where $a_{\infty,-1}$, $b_{\infty,-1}$, $c_{\infty,-1}$, $d_{\infty,-1}$, $e_{\infty,-1}$, $f_{\infty,-1}$ have been determined in Proposition 1.14.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms $t^{-(k-2)}$ $(k \ge 2)$ in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_3' = f_3\left(f_4f_5 + f_4f_1 + f_0f_1 - f_5f_0 - f_5f_2 - f_1f_2\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_5 - \alpha_1\right)f_3 + \alpha_3\left(f_5 + f_1\right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\infty,-k} = {} & \frac{k-2}{2}d_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\ & - \sum(3d_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m} + 2d_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m} + d_{\infty,-l}b_{\infty,-m}) \\ & + 2\sum(d_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m}f_{\infty,-m} - d_{\infty,-l}b_{\infty,-m}c_{\infty,-m}) \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right)d_{\infty,-(k-2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the first sum extends over positive integers l, m for which $l + m = k - 3$, and the second sum extends over positive integers l, m, n for which $l + m + n = k - 2$. Therefore, it follows that $d_{\infty,-k}$ $(k \geq 2)$ are inductively determined.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms $t^{-(k-2)}$ $(k \geq 2)$ in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_5' = f_5 \left(f_0 f_1 + f_0 f_3 + f_2 f_3 - f_1 f_2 - f_1 f_4 - f_3 f_4 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 \right) f_5 + \alpha_5 \left(f_1 + f_3 \right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\infty,-k} = & -\frac{k-2}{2} f_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\ & - \sum (3 f_{\infty,-l} d_{\infty,m} + 2_{\infty,-l} a_{\infty,-m} + f_{\infty,-l} b_{\infty,-m}) \\ & - 2 \sum (f_{\infty,-l} a_{\infty,-m} b_{\infty,-n} - f_{\infty,-l} d_{\infty,-m} e_{\infty,-n}) \\ & - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5 \right) f_{\infty,-(k-2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $b_{\infty,-k},\ f_{\infty,-k}$ $(k \geq 2)$ are inductively determined, because $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms $t^{-(k-2)}$ $(k \geq 2)$ in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_0' = f_0 \left(f_1 f_2 + f_1 f_4 + f_3 f_4 - f_2 f_3 - f_2 f_5 - f_4 f_5 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 \right) f_0 + \alpha_0 \left(f_2 + f_4 \right),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\infty,-k} = & \frac{k-2}{2} a_{\infty,-(k-2)} - 2 d_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\ & + \sum (2 a_{\infty,-l} f_{\infty,-m} + 2 d_{\infty,-l} e_{\infty,-m} + a_{\infty,-l} e_{\infty,-m}) \\ & + 2 \sum (a_{\infty,-l} b_{\infty,-m} c_{\infty,-n} - a_{\infty,-l} e_{\infty,-m} f_{\infty,-n}) \\ & \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 \right) a_{\infty,-(k-2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $a_{\infty,-k},$ $(k \geq 2)$ are inductively determined.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms $t^{-(k-2)}$ $(k \geq 2)$ in

$$\frac{t}{2} f_2' = f_2 \left(f_3 f_4 + f_3 f_0 + f_5 f_0 - f_4 f_5 - f_4 f_1 - f_0 f_1 \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_4 - \alpha_0 \right) f_2 + \alpha_2 \left(f_4 + f_0 \right),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}c_{\infty,-k} = &-\frac{k-2}{2}c_{\infty,-(k-2)} - 2f_{\infty,-(k-2)}\\ &+\sum(2c_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m} + 2f_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m} + c_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m})\\ &-2\sum(c_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m}e_{\infty,-n} - c_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m}b_{\infty,-n})\\ &-\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_0-\alpha_2-\alpha_4\right)c_{\infty,-(k-2)},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $c_{\infty,-k},\ (k \geq 2)$ are inductively determined.

□

1.3.3 $f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}$ Have a Pole at $t = \infty$

Proposition 1.16. *For $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$, there exists no rational solution $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ such that for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and f_{i+4}, f_{i+5} are holomorphic at $t = \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose that for $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$, there exists a rational solution such that for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$. By π, we assume that f_0, f_2, f_3, f_5 have a pole at $t = \infty$. Then, we set

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = a_{\infty,n_0}t^{n_0} + a_{\infty,n_0-1}t^{n_0-1} + \cdots, \\ f_1 = b_{\infty,0} + b_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \cdots, \\ f_2 = c_{\infty,n_2}t^{n_2} + c_{\infty,n_2-1}t^{n_2-1} + \cdots, \\ f_3 = d_{\infty,n_3}t^{n_3} + d_{\infty,n_3-1}t^{n_3-1} + \cdots, \\ f_4 = e_{\infty,0} + e_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \cdots, \\ f_5 = f_{\infty,n_5}t^{n_5} + f_{\infty,n_5-1}t^{n_5-1} + \cdots, \end{cases}$$

where n_0, n_2, n_3, n_5 are integers and $n_0, n_2, n_3, n_5 \geq 1$ and $a_{\infty,n_0}c_{\infty,n_2}d_{\infty,n_3}f_{\infty,n_5} \neq 0$. Since $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$ and $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$, it follows that $n_0 = n_2$ and $n_3 = n_5$, respectively. We assume that $n_0 = n_2 \leq n_3 = n_5$, because we use π^3 if $n_0 = n_2 > n_3 = n_5$.

By comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0\left(f_1f_2 + f_1f_4 + f_3f_4 - f_2f_3 - f_2f_5 - f_4f_5\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right)f_0 + \alpha_0\left(f_2 + f_4\right),$$

we have $d_{\infty,n_3} + f_{\infty,n_5} = 0$, which implies that $n_3 = n_5 \geq 2$.

By comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_3' = f_3\left(f_4f_5 + f_4f_1 + f_0f_1 - f_5f_0 - f_5f_2 - f_1f_2\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_5 - \alpha_1\right)f_3 + \alpha_3\left(f_5 + f_1\right),$$

we get $a_{\infty,n_0} + c_{\infty,n_2} = 0$, which implies that $n_0 = n_2 \geq 2$.

By comparing the coefficients of the terms $t^{2n_0+n_3}, t^{2n_0+n_3-1}, \ldots, t^{2n_0+1}$ in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0\left(f_1f_2 + f_1f_4 + f_3f_4 - f_2f_3 - f_2f_5 - f_4f_5\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right)f_0 + \alpha_0\left(f_2 + f_4\right),$$

we have

$$d_{\infty,n_3} + f_{\infty,n_5} = d_{\infty,n_3-1} + f_{\infty,n_5-1} = \cdots = d_1 + f_1 = 0,$$

which is impossible because $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$.

□

1.4 Five of $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ Have a Pole at $t = \infty$

In this subsection, we treat the case in which five of $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$.

Proposition 1.17. *For $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$, there exists no rational solution $(f_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ such that for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+4}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and f_{i+5} is holomorphic at $t = \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose that for $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$, there exists a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ such that for some $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$, $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+4}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and f_{i+5} is holomorphic at $t = \infty$. By π, we assume that f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 have a pole at $t = \infty$. Then, we set

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = a_{\infty,n_0}t^{n_0} + a_{\infty,n_0-1}t^{n_0-1} + \cdots, \\ f_1 = b_{\infty,n_1}t^{n_1} + b_{\infty,n_1-1}t^{n_1-1} + \cdots, \\ f_2 = c_{\infty,n_2}t^{n_2} + c_{\infty,n_2-1}t^{n_2-1} + \cdots, \\ f_3 = d_{\infty,n_3}t^{n_3} + d_{\infty,n_3-1}t^{n_3-1} + \cdots, \\ f_4 = e_{\infty,n_4}t^{n_4} + e_{\infty,n_4-1}t^{n_4-1} + \cdots, \\ f_5 = f_{\infty,0} + f_{\infty,-1}t^{-1} + \cdots, \end{cases}$$

where n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 are integers and $n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \geq 1$ and $a_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_2}d_{\infty,n_3}e_{\infty,n_4} \neq 0$.

Since $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$, we have only to consider the following four cases:

(1) $n_0 = n_2 > n_4 \geq 1$,

(2) $n_2 = n_4 > n_0 \geq 1$,

(3) $n_4 = n_0 > n_2 \geq 1$,
(4) $n_0 = n_2 = n_4 \geq 1$.

If the case (1) occurs, by comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_1' = f_1\left(f_2f_3 + f_2f_5 + f_4f_5 - f_3f_4 - f_3f_0 - f_5f_0\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right)f_1 + \alpha_1\left(f_3 + f_5\right),$$

we have $a_{\infty,n_0} - c_{\infty,n_2} = 0$. On the other hand, since $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$, it follows that $a_{\infty,n_0} + c_{\infty,n_2} = 0$, which is contradiction.

In the same way, we can prove that the cases (2) and (3) are impossible. Therefore, it follows that $n_0 = n_2 = n_4$.

By comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_1' = f_1\left(f_2f_3 + f_2f_5 + f_4f_5 - f_3f_4 - f_3f_0 - f_5f_0\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right)f_1 + \alpha_1\left(f_3 + f_5\right),$$

we have $c_{\infty,n_2} - e_{\infty,n_4} - a_{\infty,n_0} = 0$.

If $n_0 = n_2 = n_4 \geq 2$, it follows that $a_{\infty,n_0} + c_{\infty,n_2} + e_{\infty,n_4} = 0$ because $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$. However, these equations imply that $c_{\infty,n_2} = 0$, which is impossible. Therefore, it follows that $n_0 = n_2 = n_4 = 1$.

Since $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$, it follows that $n_1 = n_3$. If $n_1 = n_3 \geq 2$, we have $b_{\infty,n_1} + d_{\infty,n_3} = 0$, because $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$. By comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0\left(f_1f_2 + f_1f_4 + f_3f_4 - f_2f_3 - f_2f_5 - f_4f_5\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right)f_0 + \alpha_0\left(f_2 + f_4\right),$$

we get $b_{\infty,n_1} - d_{\infty,n_3} = 0$, which is impossible. Thus, it follows that $n_1 = n_3 = 1$. Moreover, since $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$, it follows that $b_1 + d_1 = 1$.

By comparing the coefficients of the term t^3 in

$$\begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0\left(f_1f_2 + f_1f_4 + f_3f_4 - f_2f_3 - f_2f_5 - f_4f_5\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right)f_0 + \alpha_0\left(f_2 + f_4\right), \\ \frac{t}{2}f_3' = f_3\left(f_4f_5 + f_4f_1 + f_0f_1 - f_5f_0 - f_5f_2 - f_1f_2\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_5 - \alpha_1\right)f_3 + \alpha_3\left(f_5 + f_1\right), \\ \frac{t}{2}f_4' = f_4\left(f_5f_0 + f_5f_2 + f_1f_2 - f_0f_1 - f_0f_3 - f_2f_3\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2\right)f_4 + \alpha_4\left(f_0 + f_2\right), \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$(*) \begin{cases} b_{\infty,1}c_{\infty,1} + b_{\infty,1}e_{\infty,1} + d_{\infty,1}e_{\infty,1} - c_{\infty,1}d_{\infty,1} = 0, \\ e_{\infty,1}b_{\infty,1} + a_{\infty,1}b_{\infty,1} - b_{\infty,1}c_{\infty,1} = 0, \\ b_{\infty,1}c_{\infty,1} - a_{\infty,1}b_{\infty,1} - a_{\infty,1}d_{\infty,1} - c_{\infty,1}d_{\infty,1} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

respectively. The first and third equations in $(*)$ imply that $a_{\infty,1} + e_{\infty,1} = 0$, because $b_{\infty,1} + d_{\infty,1} = 1$. Then, the second equation in $(*)$ shows that $b_{\infty,1}c_{\infty,1} = 0$, which is contradiction.

□

1.5 All of $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ Have a Pole at $t=\infty$

Proposition 1.18. *Suppose that for $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$, there exists a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ such that all of $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$. Then, f_0,f_1,f_2,f_3,f_4,f_5 have a pole of order one at $t=\infty$. We denote this case by Type C.*

Proof. We set

$$\begin{cases} f_0=a_{\infty,n_0}t^{n_0}+a_{\infty,n_0-1}t^{n_0-1}+\cdots, \\ f_1=b_{\infty,n_1}t^{n_1}+b_{\infty,n_1-1}t^{n_1-1}+\cdots, \\ f_2=c_{\infty,n_2}t^{n_2}+c_{\infty,n_2-1}t^{n_2-1}+\cdots, \\ f_3=d_{\infty,n_3}t^{n_3}+d_{\infty,n_3-1}t^{n_3-1}+\cdots, \\ f_4=e_{\infty,n_4}t^{n_4}+e_{\infty,n_4-1}t^{n_4-1}+\cdots, \\ f_5=f_{\infty,n_5}t^{n_5}+f_{\infty,n_5-1}t^{n_5-1}+\cdots, \end{cases}$$

where n_0,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4,n_5 are integers and $n_0,n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4,n_5 \geq 1$ and $a_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_2}d_{\infty,n_3}e_{\infty,n_4}f_{\infty,n_5}\neq 0$.

Since $f_0+f_2+f_4=t$, we consider the following four cases:
(1) $n_0=n_2>n_4\geq 1$,
(2) $n_2=n_4>n_0\geq 1$,
(3) $n_4=n_0>n_2\geq 1$,
(4) $n_0=n_2=n_4\geq 1$.

We suppose that the case (1) occurs. By comparing the coefficients of the highest term in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_0'=f_0\left(f_1f_2+f_1f_4+f_3f_4-f_2f_3-f_2f_5-f_4f_5\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_2-\alpha_4\right)f_0+\alpha_0\left(f_2+f_4\right),$$

we have $n_1=1$ and $b_{\infty,1}=\dfrac{1}{2}$. Moreover, by comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\frac{t}{2}f_1'=f_1\left(f_2f_3+f_2f_5+f_4f_5-f_3f_4-f_3f_0-f_5f_0\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_3-\alpha_5\right)f_1+\alpha_1\left(f_3+f_5\right),$$

we get $a_{\infty,n_0}-c_{\infty,n_2}=0$. On the other hand, we obtain $a_{\infty,n_0}+c_{\infty,n_2}=0$, because $f_0+f_2+f_4=t$. Thus, it follows that $a_{\infty,n_0}=c_{\infty,n_2}=0$, which is contradiction.

In the same way, we can prove that the cases (2) and (3) are impossible. Therefore, it follows that $n_0=n_2=n_4\geq 1$. In the same way, we can show that $n_1=n_3=n_5\geq 1$.

By comparing the coefficients of the highest terms in

$$\begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0\left(f_1f_2+f_1f_4+f_3f_4-f_2f_3-f_2f_5-f_4f_5\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_2-\alpha_4\right)f_0+\alpha_0\left(f_2+f_4\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_1' = f_1\left(f_2f_3+f_2f_5+f_4f_5-f_3f_4-f_3f_0-f_5f_0\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_3-\alpha_5\right)f_1+\alpha_1\left(f_3+f_5\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_2' = f_2\left(f_3f_4+f_3f_0+f_5f_0-f_4f_5-f_4f_1-f_0f_1\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_4-\alpha_0\right)f_2+\alpha_2\left(f_4+f_0\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_3' = f_3\left(f_4f_5+f_4f_1+f_0f_1-f_5f_0-f_5f_2-f_1f_2\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_5-\alpha_1\right)f_3+\alpha_3\left(f_5+f_1\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_4' = f_4\left(f_5f_0+f_5f_2+f_1f_2-f_0f_1-f_0f_3-f_2f_3\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_0-\alpha_2\right)f_4+\alpha_4\left(f_0+f_2\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_5' = f_5\left(f_0f_1+f_0f_3+f_2f_3-f_1f_2-f_1f_4-f_3f_4\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_1-\alpha_3\right)f_5+\alpha_5\left(f_1+f_3\right), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$(**)\begin{cases} b_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_2}+b_{\infty,n_1}e_{\infty,n_4}+d_{\infty,n_3}e_{\infty,n_4}-c_{\infty,n_2}d_{\infty,n_3}-c_{\infty,n_2}f_{\infty,n_5}-e_{\infty,n_4}f_{\infty,n_5}=0, \\ c_{\infty,n_2}d_{\infty,n_3}+c_{\infty,n_2}f_{\infty,n_5}+e_{\infty,n_4}f_{\infty,n_5}-d_{\infty,n_3}e_{\infty,n_4}-d_{\infty,n_3}a_{\infty,n_0}-f_{\infty,n_5}a_{\infty,n_0}=0, \\ d_{\infty,n_3}e_{\infty,n_4}+d_{\infty,n_3}a_{\infty,n_0}+f_{\infty,n_5}a_{\infty,n_0}-e_{\infty,n_4}f_{\infty,n_5}-e_{\infty,n_4}b_{\infty,n_1}-a_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1}=0, \\ e_{\infty,n_4}f_{\infty,n_5}+e_{\infty,n_4}b_{\infty,n_1}+a_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1}-f_{\infty,n_5}a_{\infty,n_0}-f_{\infty,n_5}c_{\infty,n_2}-b_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_2}=0, \\ f_{\infty,n_5}a_{\infty,n_0}+f_{\infty,n_5}c_{\infty,n_2}+b_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_2}-a_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1}-a_{\infty,n_0}d_{\infty,n_3}-c_{\infty,n_2}d_{\infty,n_3}=0, \\ a_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1}+a_{\infty,n_0}d_{\infty,n_3}+c_{\infty,n_2}d_{\infty,n_3}-b_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_2}-b_{\infty,n_1}e_{\infty,n_4}-d_{\infty,n_3}e_{\infty,n_4}=0. \end{cases}$$

From the first and second equations in $(**)$, we have

$$b_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_2}+b_{\infty,n_1}e_{\infty,n_4}-d_{\infty,n_3}a_{\infty,n_0}-f_{\infty,n_5}a_{\infty,n_0}=0. \tag{1.2}$$

We consider the following four cases:

(1) $n_0=n_2=n_4\geq 2$ and $n_1=n_3=n_5=1$,
(2) $n_1=n_3=n_5\geq 2$ and $n_0=n_2=n_4=1$,
(3) $n_1=n_3=n_5\geq 2$ and $n_0=n_2=n_4\geq 2$.
(4) $n_0=n_2=n_4=1$ and $n_1=n_3=n_5=1$,

If the case (1) occurs, we get

$$a_{\infty,n_0}+c_{\infty,n_2}+e_{\infty,n_4}=0 \text{ and } b_{\infty,1}+d_{\infty,1}+f_{\infty,1}=1,$$

because $f_0+f_2+f_4=t$ and $f_1+f_3+f_5=t$, respectively. From (1.2), it follows that

$$-a_{\infty,n_0}=b_{\infty,1}(-a_{\infty,n_0})-a_{\infty,n_0}(1-b_{\infty,1})=b_{\infty,1}(c_{\infty,n_2}+e_{\infty,n_4})-a_{\infty,n_0}(d_{\infty,1}+f_{\infty,1})=0,$$

which is impossible. In the same way, we can prove that the case (2) is impossible.

We consider the case (3). Then, since $f_0+f_2+f_4=t$ and $f_1+f_3+f_5=t$, it follows that

$$a_{\infty,n_0}+c_{\infty,n_2}+e_{\infty,n_4}=0 \text{ and } b_{\infty,n_1}+d_{\infty,n_3}+f_{\infty,n_5}=0,$$

respectively. By considering $(**)$ and comparing the coefficients of the terms $t^{2n_0+n_1}$ or $t^{2n_1+n_0}$ in

$$\begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0\left(f_1f_2+f_1f_4+f_3f_4-f_2f_3-f_2f_5-f_4f_5\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_2-\alpha_4\right)f_0+\alpha_0\left(f_2+f_4\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_1' = f_1\left(f_2f_3+f_2f_5+f_4f_5-f_3f_4-f_3f_0-f_5f_0\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_3-\alpha_5\right)f_1+\alpha_1\left(f_3+f_5\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_2' = f_2\left(f_3f_4+f_3f_0+f_5f_0-f_4f_5-f_4f_1-f_0f_1\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_4-\alpha_0\right)f_2+\alpha_2\left(f_4+f_0\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_3' = f_3\left(f_4f_5+f_4f_1+f_0f_1-f_5f_0-f_5f_2-f_1f_2\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_5-\alpha_1\right)f_3+\alpha_3\left(f_5+f_1\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_4' = f_4\left(f_5f_0+f_5f_2+f_1f_2-f_0f_1-f_0f_3-f_2f_3\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_0-\alpha_2\right)f_4+\alpha_4\left(f_0+f_2\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_5' = f_5\left(f_0f_1+f_0f_3+f_2f_3-f_1f_2-f_1f_4-f_3f_4\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_1-\alpha_3\right)f_5+\alpha_5\left(f_1+f_3\right), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$(***)\begin{cases} a_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1-1}-2b_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_0-1}+(c_{\infty,n_0}-e_{\infty,n_0})d_{\infty,n_1-1}+2f_{\infty,n_1}e_{\infty,n_0-1}-a_{\infty,n_0}f_{\infty,n_1-1}=0, \\ b_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_0-1}-2c_{\infty,n_0}d_{\infty,n_1-1}+(d_{\infty,n_1}-f_{\infty,n_1})e_{\infty,n_0-1}+2a_{\infty,n_0}f_{\infty,n_1-1}-b_{\infty,n_1}a_{\infty,n_0-1}=0, \\ c_{\infty,n_0}d_{\infty,n_1-1}-2d_{\infty,n_1}e_{\infty,n_0-1}+(e_{\infty,n_0}-a_{\infty,n_0})f_{\infty,n_1-1}+2b_{\infty,n_1}a_{\infty,n_0-1}-c_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1-1}=0, \\ d_{\infty,n_1}e_{\infty,n_0-1}-2e_{\infty,n_0}f_{\infty,n_1-1}+(f_{\infty,n_1}-b_{\infty,n_1})a_{\infty,n_0-1}+2c_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1-1}-d_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_0-1}=0, \\ e_{\infty,n_0}f_{\infty,n_1-1}-2f_{\infty,n_1}a_{\infty,n_0-1}+(a_{\infty,n_0}-c_{\infty,n_0})b_{\infty,n_1-1}+2d_{\infty,n_1}c_{\infty,n_0-1}-e_{\infty,n_0}d_{\infty,n_1-1}=0, \\ f_{\infty,n_1}a_{\infty,n_0-1}-2a_{\infty,n_0}b_{\infty,n_1-1}+(b_{\infty,n_1}-d_{\infty,n_1})c_{\infty,n_0-1}+2e_{\infty,n_0}d_{\infty,n_1-1}-f_{\infty,n_1}e_{\infty,n_0-1}=0, \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we define the matrix A and the vector $\mathbf{u}$ by

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{\infty,n_0} & -2b_{\infty,n_1} & c_{\infty,n_0}-e_{\infty,n_0} & 2f_{\infty,n_1} & -a_{\infty,n_0} \\ -b_{\infty,n_1} & 0 & b_{\infty,n_1} & -2c_{\infty,n_0} & d_{\infty,n_1}-f_{\infty,n_1} & 2a_{\infty,n_0} \\ 2b_{\infty,n_1} & -c_{\infty,n_0} & 0 & c_{\infty,n_0} & -2d_{\infty,n_1} & e_{\infty,n_0}-a_{\infty,n_0} \\ f_{\infty,n_1}-b_{\infty,n_1} & 2c_{\infty,n_2} & -d_{\infty,n_1} & 0 & d_{\infty,n_1} & -2e_{\infty,n_0} \\ -2f_{\infty,n_1} & a_{\infty,n_0}-c_{\infty,n_0} & 2d_{\infty,n_1} & -e_{\infty,n_0} & 0 & e_{\infty,n_0} \\ f_{\infty,n_1} & -2a_{\infty,n_0} & b_{\infty,n_1}-d_{\infty,n_1} & 2e_{\infty,n_0} & -f_{\infty,n_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbf{u}={}^t(a_{\infty,n_0-1},b_{\infty,n_1-1},c_{\infty,n_0-1},d_{\infty,n_1-1},e_{\infty,n_0-1},f_{\infty,n_1-1}),$$

respectively. Thus, the system of equations $(***)$ is given by

$$A\mathbf{u}=0.$$

By fundamental transformations of A with respect to rows, we have

$$A\longrightarrow\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{\infty,n_0} & 0 & a_{\infty,n_0} & 0 & a_{\infty,n_0} \\ -b_{\infty,n_1} & 0 & -b_{\infty,n_1} & 0 & -b_{\infty,n_1} & 0 \\ 0 & -c_{\infty,n_0} & 0 & -c_{\infty,n_0} & 0 & -c_{\infty,n_0} \\ 0 & 0 & b_{\infty,n_1} & -c_{\infty,n_0} & -f_{\infty,n_1} & a_{\infty,n_0} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ f_{\infty,n_1} & 0 & 0 & -c_{\infty,n_0} & 0 & a_{\infty,n_0} \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies that

$$a_{\infty,n_0-1}+c_{\infty,n_0-1}+e_{\infty,n_0-1}=0 \text{ and } b_{\infty,n_1-1}+d_{\infty,n_1-1}+f_{\infty,n_1-1}=0.$$

By induction, we can prove that

$$\begin{cases} a_{\infty,n_0}+c_{\infty,n_0}+e_{\infty,n_0}=a_{\infty,n_0-1}+c_{\infty,n_0-1}+e_{\infty,n_0-1}=\cdots=a_{\infty,1}+c_{\infty,1}+e_{\infty,1}=0, \\ b_{\infty,n_1}+d_{\infty,n_1}+f_{\infty,n_1}=b_{\infty,n_1-1}+d_{\infty,n_1-1}+f_{\infty,n_1-1}=\cdots=b_{\infty,1}+d_{\infty,1}+f_{\infty,1}=0, \end{cases}$$

which is impossible because $f_0+f_2+f_4=t$ and $f_1+f_3+f_5=t$.

□

In order to compute the residues of the Laurent series, we have

Proposition 1.19. *Suppose that for $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$, there exists a rational solution such that all of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$. Then,*

$$\begin{cases} f_0=\frac{1}{3}t+(2\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\alpha_4-2\alpha_5)\,t^{-1}+\cdots \\ f_1=\frac{1}{3}t+(2\alpha_2+\alpha_3-\alpha_5-2\alpha_0)\,t^{-1}+\cdots \\ f_2=\frac{1}{3}t+(2\alpha_3+\alpha_4-\alpha_0-2\alpha_1)\,t^{-1}+\cdots \\ f_3=\frac{1}{3}t+(2\alpha_4+\alpha_5-\alpha_1-2\alpha_2)\,t^{-1}+\cdots \\ f_4=\frac{1}{3}t+(2\alpha_5+\alpha_0-\alpha_2-2\alpha_3)\,t^{-1}+\cdots \\ f_5=\frac{1}{3}t+(2\alpha_0+\alpha_1-\alpha_3-2\alpha_4)\,t^{-1}+\cdots . \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.19, we set

$$\begin{cases} f_0=a_{\infty,1}t+a_{\infty,0}+a_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\cdots, \\ f_1=b_{\infty,1}t+b_{\infty,0}+b_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\cdots, \\ f_2=c_{\infty,1}t+c_{\infty,0}+c_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\cdots, \\ f_3=c_{\infty,1}t+c_{\infty,0}+c_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\cdots, \\ f_4=d_{\infty,1}t+d_{\infty,0}+d_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\cdots, \\ f_5=f_{\infty,1}t+f_{\infty,0}+f_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\cdots, \end{cases}$$

where $a_{\infty,1}b_{\infty,1}c_{\infty,1}d_{\infty,1}e_{\infty,1}f_{\infty,1}\neq 0$ and $a_{\infty,1}+c_{\infty,1}+e_{\infty,1}=1$ and $b_{\infty,1}+d_{\infty,1}+f_{\infty,1}=1$, because $f_0+f_2+f_4=t$ and $f_1+f_3+f_5=t$. By comparing the coefficients of the term t^3 in

$$\begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}f_0'=f_0\left(f_1f_2+f_1f_4+f_3f_4-f_2f_3-f_2f_5-f_4f_5\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_2-\alpha_4\right)f_0+\alpha_0\left(f_2+f_4\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_1'=f_1\left(f_2f_3+f_2f_5+f_4f_5-f_3f_4-f_3f_0-f_5f_0\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_3-\alpha_5\right)f_1+\alpha_1\left(f_3+f_5\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_2'=f_2\left(f_3f_4+f_3f_0+f_5f_0-f_4f_5-f_4f_1-f_0f_1\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_4-\alpha_0\right)f_2+\alpha_2\left(f_4+f_0\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_3'=f_3\left(f_4f_5+f_4f_1+f_0f_1-f_5f_0-f_5f_2-f_1f_2\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_5-\alpha_1\right)f_3+\alpha_3\left(f_5+f_1\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_4'=f_4\left(f_5f_0+f_5f_2+f_1f_2-f_0f_1-f_0f_3-f_2f_3\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_0-\alpha_2\right)f_4+\alpha_4\left(f_0+f_2\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_5'=f_5\left(f_0f_1+f_0f_3+f_2f_3-f_1f_2-f_1f_4-f_3f_4\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_1-\alpha_3\right)f_5+\alpha_5\left(f_1+f_3\right), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$(*)\begin{cases} b_{\infty,1}c_{\infty,1}+b_{\infty,1}e_{\infty,1}+d_{\infty,1}e_{\infty,1}-c_{\infty,1}d_{\infty,1}-c_{\infty,1}f_{\infty,1}-e_{\infty,1}f_{\infty,1}=0,\\ c_{\infty,1}d_{\infty,1}+c_{\infty,1}f_{\infty,1}+e_{\infty,1}f_{\infty,1}-d_{\infty,1}e_{\infty,1}-d_{\infty,1}a_{\infty,1}-f_{\infty,1}a_{\infty,1}=0,\\ d_{\infty,1}e_{\infty,1}+d_{\infty,1}a_{\infty,1}+f_{\infty,1}a_{\infty,1}-e_{\infty,1}f_{\infty,1}-e_{\infty,1}b_{\infty,1}-a_{\infty,1}b_{\infty,1}=0,\\ e_{\infty,1}f_{\infty,1}+e_{\infty,1}b_{\infty,1}+a_{\infty,1}b_{\infty,1}-f_{\infty,1}a_{\infty,1}-f_{\infty,1}c_{\infty,1}-b_{\infty,1}c_{\infty,1}=0,\\ f_{\infty,1}a_{\infty,1}+f_{\infty,1}c_{\infty,1}+b_{\infty,1}c_{\infty,1}-a_{\infty,1}b_{\infty,1}-a_{\infty,1}d_{\infty,1}-c_{\infty,1}d_{\infty,1}=0,\\ a_{\infty,1}b_{\infty,1}+a_{\infty,1}d_{\infty,1}+c_{\infty,1}d_{\infty,1}-b_{\infty,1}c_{\infty,1}-b_{\infty,1}e_{\infty,1}-d_{\infty,1}e_{\infty,1}=0. \end{cases}$$

By the sums of the first and second equations, the second and third, the third and forth, the forth and fifth, the fifth and sixth, the sixth and first in $(*)$, we have

$$a_{\infty,1}=b_{\infty,1}=c_{\infty,1}=d_{\infty,1}=e_{\infty,1}=f_{\infty,1},$$

which implies that

$$a_{\infty,1}=b_{\infty,1}=c_{\infty,1}=d_{\infty,1}=e_{\infty,1}=f_{\infty,1}=\frac{1}{3}.$$

By comparing the coefficients of the term t^2 in

$$\begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}f_0'=f_0\left(f_1f_2+f_1f_4+f_3f_4-f_2f_3-f_2f_5-f_4f_5\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_2-\alpha_4\right)f_0+\alpha_0\left(f_2+f_4\right)\\ \frac{t}{2}f_1'=f_1\left(f_2f_3+f_2f_5+f_4f_5-f_3f_4-f_3f_0-f_5f_0\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_3-\alpha_5\right)f_1+\alpha_1\left(f_3+f_5\right)\\ \frac{t}{2}f_2'=f_2\left(f_3f_4+f_3f_0+f_5f_0-f_4f_5-f_4f_1-f_0f_1\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_4-\alpha_0\right)f_2+\alpha_2\left(f_4+f_0\right)\\ \frac{t}{2}f_3'=f_3\left(f_4f_5+f_4f_1+f_0f_1-f_5f_0-f_5f_2-f_1f_2\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_5-\alpha_1\right)f_3+\alpha_3\left(f_5+f_1\right)\\ \frac{t}{2}f_4'=f_4\left(f_5f_0+f_5f_2+f_1f_2-f_0f_1-f_0f_3-f_2f_3\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_0-\alpha_2\right)f_4+\alpha_4\left(f_0+f_2\right)\\ \frac{t}{2}f_5'=f_5\left(f_0f_1+f_0f_3+f_2f_3-f_1f_2-f_1f_4-f_3f_4\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\alpha_1-\alpha_3\right)f_5+\alpha_5\left(f_1+f_3\right), \end{cases}$$

we get

$$(\Box)\begin{cases} 2b_{\infty,0}-c_{\infty,0}+e_{\infty,0}-2f_{\infty,0}=0,\\ 2c_{\infty,0}-d_{\infty,0}+f_{\infty,0}-2a_{\infty,0}=0,\\ 2d_{\infty,0}-e_{\infty,0}+a_{\infty,0}-2b_{\infty,0}=0,\\ 2e_{\infty,0}-f_{\infty,0}+b_{\infty,0}-2c_{\infty,0}=0,\\ 2f_{\infty,0}-a_{\infty,0}+c_{\infty,0}-2d_{\infty,0}=0,\\ 2a_{\infty,0}-b_{\infty,0}+d_{\infty,0}-2e_{\infty,0}=0. \end{cases}$$

We define the matrix B and the vector $\mathbf{v}_0$ by

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\mathbf{v}_0 = {}^t(a_{\infty,0}, b_{\infty,0}, c_{\infty,0}, d_{\infty,0}, e_{\infty,0}, f_{\infty,0}),$$

respectively. Then, the system of equations, (□), is expressed by

$$B\mathbf{v}_0 = 0.$$

By fundamental transformations of B with respect to rows, we get

$$B \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies that

$$a_{\infty,0} = c_{\infty,0} = e_{\infty,0} \text{ and } b_{\infty,0} = d_{\infty,0} = f_{\infty,0}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$a_{\infty,0} = c_{\infty,0} = e_{\infty,0} = 0 \text{ and } b_{\infty,0} = d_{\infty,0} = f_{\infty,0} = 0,$$

because $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$ and $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$.

By comparing the coefficients of the term t in

$$\begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0\left(f_1f_2 + f_1f_4 + f_3f_4 - f_2f_3 - f_2f_5 - f_4f_5\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right) f_0 + \alpha_0\left(f_2 + f_4\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_1' = f_1\left(f_2f_3 + f_2f_5 + f_4f_5 - f_3f_4 - f_3f_0 - f_5f_0\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right) f_1 + \alpha_1\left(f_3 + f_5\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_2' = f_2\left(f_3f_4 + f_3f_0 + f_5f_0 - f_4f_5 - f_4f_1 - f_0f_1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_4 - \alpha_0\right) f_2 + \alpha_2\left(f_4 + f_0\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_3' = f_3\left(f_4f_5 + f_4f_1 + f_0f_1 - f_5f_0 - f_5f_2 - f_1f_2\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_5 - \alpha_1\right) f_3 + \alpha_3\left(f_5 + f_1\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_4' = f_4\left(f_5f_0 + f_5f_2 + f_1f_2 - f_0f_1 - f_0f_3 - f_2f_3\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2\right) f_4 + \alpha_4\left(f_0 + f_2\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_5' = f_5\left(f_0f_1 + f_0f_3 + f_2f_3 - f_1f_2 - f_1f_4 - f_3f_4\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3\right) f_5 + \alpha_5\left(f_1 + f_3\right), \end{cases}$$

we get

$$(\square\square)\begin{cases}2b_{\infty,-1}-c_{\infty,-1}+e_{\infty,-1}-2f_{\infty,-1}=3(-2\alpha_0+\alpha_2+\alpha_4),\\ 2c_{\infty,-1}-d_{\infty,-1}+f_{\infty,-1}-2a_{\infty,-1}=3(-2\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_5),\\ 2d_{\infty,-1}-e_{\infty,-1}+a_{\infty,-1}-2b_{\infty,-1}=3(-2\alpha_2+\alpha_4+\alpha_0),\\ 2e_{\infty,-1}-f_{\infty,-1}+b_{\infty,-1}-2c_{\infty,-1}=3(-2\alpha_3+\alpha_5+\alpha_1),\\ 2f_{\infty,-1}-a_{\infty,-1}+c_{\infty,-1}-2d_{\infty,-1}=3(-2\alpha_4+\alpha_0+\alpha_2),\\ 2a_{\infty,-1}-b_{\infty,-1}+d_{\infty,-1}-2e_{\infty,-1}=3(-2\alpha_5+\alpha_1+\alpha_3).\end{cases}$$

Since $f_0+f_2+f_4=t$ and $f_1+f_3+f_5=t$, from (□□), we obtain

$$\begin{cases}a_{\infty,-1}=2\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\alpha_4-2\alpha_5,\\ b_{\infty,-1}=2\alpha_2+\alpha_3-\alpha_5-2\alpha_0,\\ c_{\infty,-1}=2\alpha_3+\alpha_4-\alpha_0-2\alpha_1,\\ d_{\infty,-1}=2\alpha_4+\alpha_5-\alpha_1-2\alpha_2,\\ e_{\infty,-1}=2\alpha_5+\alpha_0-\alpha_2-2\alpha_3,\\ f_{\infty,-1}=2\alpha_0+\alpha_1-\alpha_3-2\alpha_4.\end{cases}$$

□

In order to prove the uniqueness of the Laurent series, we have

Proposition 1.20. *Suppose that for $A_5^{(1)}(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$, there exists a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ such that all of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$. Then, it is unique.*

Proof. By Proposition 1.20, we set

$$\begin{cases}f_0=\frac{1}{3}t+a_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\sum_{k=2}a_{\infty,-k}t^{-k},\\ f_1=\frac{1}{3}t+b_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\sum_{k=2}b_{\infty,-k}t^{-k},\\ f_2=\frac{1}{3}t+c_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\sum_{k=2}c_{\infty,-k}t^{-k},\\ f_3=\frac{1}{3}t+d_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\sum_{k=2}d_{\infty,-k}t^{-k},\\ f_4=\frac{1}{3}t+e_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\sum_{k=2}e_{\infty,-k}t^{-k},\\ f_5=\frac{1}{3}t+f_{\infty,-1}t^{-1}+\sum_{k=2}f_{\infty,-k}t^{-k},\end{cases}$$

where $a_{\infty,-1},b_{\infty,-1},c_{\infty,-1},d_{\infty,-1},e_{\infty,-1},f_{\infty,-1}$ have been determined in Proposition 1.20.

By comparing the coefficients of the term $t^{-(k-2)}$ $(k \geq 2)$ in

$$\begin{cases} \frac{t}{2}f_0' = f_0\left(f_1f_2 + f_1f_4 + f_3f_4 - f_2f_3 - f_2f_5 - f_4f_5\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right)f_0 + \alpha_0\left(f_2 + f_4\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_1' = f_1\left(f_2f_3 + f_2f_5 + f_4f_5 - f_3f_4 - f_3f_0 - f_5f_0\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right)f_1 + \alpha_1\left(f_3 + f_5\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_2' = f_2\left(f_3f_4 + f_3f_0 + f_5f_0 - f_4f_5 - f_4f_1 - f_0f_1\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_4 - \alpha_0\right)f_2 + \alpha_2\left(f_4 + f_0\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_3' = f_3\left(f_4f_5 + f_4f_1 + f_0f_1 - f_5f_0 - f_5f_2 - f_1f_2\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_5 - \alpha_1\right)f_3 + \alpha_3\left(f_5 + f_1\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_4' = f_4\left(f_5f_0 + f_5f_2 + f_1f_2 - f_0f_1 - f_0f_3 - f_2f_3\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2\right)f_4 + \alpha_4\left(f_0 + f_2\right) \\ \frac{t}{2}f_5' = f_5\left(f_0f_1 + f_0f_3 + f_2f_3 - f_1f_2 - f_1f_4 - f_3f_4\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3\right)f_5 + \alpha_5\left(f_1 + f_3\right), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2b_{\infty,-k} - c_{\infty,-k} + e_{\infty,-k} - 2f_{\infty,-k} = &-\frac{9}{2}(k-2)a_{\infty,-(k-2)} - 9\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right)a_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\ &- 3\sum(a_{\infty,-l}b_{\infty,-m} + d_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m} + e_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m} \\ &\quad - c_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m} - a_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m} - f_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m}) \\ &- 2\sum(a_{\infty,-l}b_{\infty,-m}c_{\infty,-n} - a_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m}f_{\infty,-n}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_{\infty,-k} - d_{\infty,-k} + f_{\infty,-k} - 2a_{\infty,-k} = &-\frac{9}{2}(k-2)b_{\infty,-(k-2)} - 9\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right)b_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\ &- 3\sum(b_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m} + e_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m} + f_{\infty,-l}b_{\infty,-m} \\ &\quad - d_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m} - b_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m} - a_{\infty,-l}b_{\infty,-m}) \\ &- 2\sum(b_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m}d_{\infty,-n} - b_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m}a_{\infty,-n}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2d_{\infty,-k} - e_{\infty,-k} + a_{\infty,-k} - 2b_{\infty,-k} = &-\frac{9}{2}(k-2)c_{\infty,-(k-2)} - 9\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right)c_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\ &- 3\sum(c_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m} + f_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m} + a_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m} \\ &\quad - e_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m} - c_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m} - b_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m}) \\ &- 2\sum(c_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m}e_{\infty,-n} - c_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m}b_{\infty,-n}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2e_{\infty,-k} - f_{\infty,-k} + b_{\infty,-k} - 2c_{\infty,-k} = &-\frac{9}{2}(k-2)d_{\infty,-(k-2)} - 9\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right)d_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\ &- 3\sum(d_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m} + a_{\infty,-l}b_{\infty,-m} + b_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m} \\ &\quad - f_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m} - d_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m} - c_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m}) \\ &- 2\sum(d_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m}f_{\infty,-n} - d_{\infty,-l}b_{\infty,-m}c_{\infty,-n}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}2f_{\infty,-k} - a_{\infty,-k} + c_{\infty,-k} - 2d_{\infty,-k} = &-\frac{9}{2}(k-2)e_{\infty,-(k-2)} - 9\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4\right)e_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\ &- 3\sum(e_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m} + b_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m} + c_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m} \\ &\qquad - a_{\infty,-l}b_{\infty,-m} - e_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m} - d_{\infty,-l}e_{\infty,-m}) \\ &- 2\sum(e_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m}a_{\infty,-n} - e_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m}d_{\infty,-n}),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}2a_{\infty,-k} - b_{\infty,-k} + d_{\infty,-k} - 2e_{\infty,-k} = &-\frac{9}{2}(k-2)f_{\infty,-(k-2)} - 9\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5\right)f_{\infty,-(k-2)} \\ &- 3\sum(f_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m} + c_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m} + d_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m} \\ &\qquad - b_{\infty,-l}c_{\infty,-m} - f_{\infty,-l}b_{\infty,-m} - e_{\infty,-l}f_{\infty,-m}) \\ &- 2\sum(f_{\infty,-l}a_{\infty,-m}b_{\infty,-n} - f_{\infty,-l}d_{\infty,-m}e_{\infty,-n}),\end{aligned}$$

where the first sum extends over positive integers l, m for which $l + m = k - 3$, and the second sum extends over positive integers l, m, n for which $l + m + n = k - 2$.

This system of equations with respect to $a_{\infty,-k}, b_{\infty,-k}, c_{\infty,-k}, d_{\infty,-k}, e_{\infty,-k}, f_{\infty,-k}$ is expressed by

$$B\mathbf{v}_k = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k-1},$$

where B is defined by

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\mathbf{v}_k$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{v}_k =^t (a_{\infty,-k}, b_{\infty,-k}, c_{\infty,-k}, d_{\infty,-k}, e_{\infty,-k}, f_{\infty,-k}),$$

and all the components of $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{k-1}$ are expressed by

$$a_{\infty,-l},\ b_{\infty,-l},\ c_{\infty,-l},\ d_{\infty,-l},\ e_{\infty,-l},\ f_{\infty,-l}\ (1 \leq l \leq k-1).$$

By fundamental transformations of B with respect to rows, we get

$$B \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies that $a_{\infty,-k},\ b_{\infty,-k},\ c_{\infty,-k},\ d_{\infty,-k},\ e_{\infty,-k},\ f_{\infty,-k}$ $(k \geq 2)$ are inductively determined, because $f_0 + f_2 + f_4 = t$ and $f_1 + f_3 + f_5 = t$. ☐

1.6 Summary

In this subsection, we summarize the results of the Laurent series of a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ and show basic properties of $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$. Furthermore, we give examples of rational solution of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$.

1.6.1 The Laurent Series of $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ at $t = \infty$

Proposition 1.21. *Suppose that $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ is a rational solution of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$. f_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ are uniquely determined near $t = \infty$ as the following five types:*
Type A (1) if f_i, f_{i+1} have a pole at $t = \infty$ and $f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}$ are regular at $t = \infty$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{cases} f_i = t - (\alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+4})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+1} = t + (\alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+2} = \alpha_{i+2} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+3} = -\alpha_{i+3} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+4} = \alpha_{i+4} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+5} = -\alpha_{i+5} t^{-1} + \cdots\ ; \end{cases}$$

Type A (2) if f_i, f_{i+3} have a pole at $t = \infty$ and $f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}$ are regular at $t = \infty$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{cases} f_i = t + (\alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+1} = \alpha_{i+1} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+2} = -\alpha_{i+2} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+3} = t + (\alpha_{i+5} - \alpha_{i+1})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+4} = \alpha_{i+4} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+5} = -\alpha_{i+5} t^{-1} + \cdots\ ; \end{cases}$$

Type A (3) *if* $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}$ *have a pole at* $t = \infty$ *and* f_{i+3}, f_{i+5} *are regular at* $t = \infty$ *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{cases} f_i = t + (-\alpha_{i+2} - 2\alpha_{i+3} - \alpha_{i+4})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+1} = t + (-\alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+2} = t + (\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+4} + 2\alpha_{i+5})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+3} = \alpha_{i+3} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+4} = -t + (-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} + 2\alpha_{i+3} - 2\alpha_{i+5})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+5} = -\alpha_{i+5} t^{-1} + \cdots\ ; \end{cases}$$

Type B *if* $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ *have a pole at* $t = \infty$ *and* f_{i+4}, f_{i+5} *are regular at* $t = \infty$ *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{cases} f_i = \frac{1}{2}t + (\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - 2\alpha_{i+4} - \alpha_{i+5})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+1} = \frac{1}{2}t + (-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+2} = \frac{1}{2}t + (-\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+3} = \frac{1}{2}t + (\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+4} + 2\alpha_{i+5})\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+4} = 2\alpha_{i+4} t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_{i+5} = -2\alpha_{i+5} t^{-1} + \cdots\ ; \end{cases}$$

Type C *if all the rational functions of* $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ *have a pole at* $t = \infty$,

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = \frac{1}{3}t + (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 - 2\alpha_5)\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_1 = \frac{1}{3}t + (2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_5 - 2\alpha_0)\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_2 = \frac{1}{3}t + (2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 - \alpha_0 - 2\alpha_1)\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_3 = \frac{1}{3}t + (2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 - \alpha_1 - 2\alpha_2)\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_4 = \frac{1}{3}t + (2\alpha_5 + \alpha_0 - \alpha_2 - 2\alpha_3)\, t^{-1} + \cdots \\ f_5 = \frac{1}{3}t + (2\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 - 2\alpha_4)\, t^{-1} + \cdots\ . \end{cases}$$

We also denote Type A (1) by $(f_i, f_{i+1})_\infty$*, Type A (2) by* $(f_i, f_{i+3})_\infty$*, Type A (3) by* $(f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4})_\infty$*, Type B by* $(f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+4})_\infty$*, respectively.*

1.6.2 Basic Properties of Rational Solutions

By using the uniqueness of the Laurent series at $t = \infty$, we show that f_i $(0 \le i \le 5)$ are odd functions.

Proposition 1.22. *If* $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ *is a rational solution of* $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$*,* $f_i\ (i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ *are odd functions.*

Proof. It can be proved in the same way as Corollary 1.4 in [7]. ☐

Furthermore, from the uniqueness of the Laurent series at $t = \infty$, we have

Proposition 1.23. Suppose that $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ is a rational solution of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$.

Type A (1): f_i, f_{i+1} have a pole at $t = \infty$ and $f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}$ are regular at $t = \infty$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then,

$$\begin{cases} f_{i+2} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+2} = 0 \\ f_{i+3} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+3} = 0 \\ f_{i+4} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+4} = 0 \\ f_{i+5} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+5} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Type A (2): f_i, f_{i+3} have a pole at $t = \infty$ and $f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}$ are regular at $t = \infty$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then,

$$\begin{cases} f_{i+1} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+1} = 0 \\ f_{i+2} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+2} = 0 \\ f_{i+4} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+4} = 0 \\ f_{i+5} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+5} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Type A (3): $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and f_{i+3}, f_{i+5} are regular at $t = \infty$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then,

$$\begin{cases} f_{i+3} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+3} = 0 \\ f_{i+5} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+5} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Type B: $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and f_{i+4}, f_{i+5} are regular at $t = \infty$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then,

$$\begin{cases} f_{i+4} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+4} = 0 \\ f_{i+5} \equiv 0 & if \ \alpha_{i+5} = 0. \end{cases}$$

1.6.3 Examples of Rational Solutions

By considering Proposition 1.21, we give examples of a rational solution of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$.

Proposition 1.24. *Type A (1) for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{cases} f_i = f_{i+1} = t, \ f_{i+2} = f_{i+3} = f_{i+4} = f_{i+5} \equiv 0 \\ \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1} = 1, \ \alpha_{i+2} = \alpha_{i+3} = \alpha_{i+4} = \alpha_{i+5} = 0; \end{cases}$$

Type A (2) for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{cases} f_i = f_{i+3} = t, \ f_{i+1} = f_{i+2} = f_{i+4} = f_{i+5} \equiv 0 \\ \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+3} = 1, \ \alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_{i+2} = \alpha_{i+4} = \alpha_{i+5} = 0; \end{cases}$$

Type A (3) for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{cases} f_i = f_{i+1} = f_{i+2} = t, \ f_{i+3} \equiv 0, \ f_{i+4} = -t, \ f_{i+5} \equiv 0 \\ \alpha_i = \alpha_{i+2}, \ \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+4} = 0, \ \alpha_{i+3} = \alpha_{i+5} = 0; \end{cases}$$

Type B for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{cases} f_i = f_{i+1} = f_{i+2} = f_{i+3} = \frac{1}{2}t, \ f_{i+4} = f_{i+5} \equiv 0, \\ \alpha_i = \alpha_{i+2}, \ \alpha_{i+1} = \alpha_{i+3}, \ \alpha_{i+4} = \alpha_{i+5} = 0; \end{cases}$$

Type C

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = f_4 = f_5 = \frac{1}{3}t, \\ \alpha_0 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_4, \ \alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_5. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It can be proved by direct calculation. ☐

2 The Laurent Series of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ at $t = 0$

In this section, we prove Proposition 2.1, where we calculate the Laurent series of f_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ at $t = 0$, whose residues are expressed by the parameters α_j $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$.

Furthermore, in Corollary 2.2, we show the conditions under which by some Bäcklund transformations, $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ can be transformed into a rational solution such that all the rational functions are regular at $t = 0$.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that* $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ *is a rational solution of* $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$.

(1) If f_i, f_{i+2} have a pole at $t=0$ for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$\begin{cases} f_i = (\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i+3}-\alpha_{i+5})\,t^{-1}+\cdots \\ f_{i+1} = \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i+3}-\alpha_{i+5}}t+\cdots \\ f_{i+2} = (-\alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_{i+3}+\alpha_{i+5})\,t^{-1}+\cdots \\ f_{i+3} = \frac{-\alpha_{i+3}}{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i+3}-\alpha_{i+5}}t+\cdots \\ f_{i+4} = a_{i+4}t+\cdots \\ f_{i+5} = \frac{-\alpha_{i+5}}{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i+3}-\alpha_{i+5}}t+\cdots\,. \end{cases}$$

(2) If $f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}$ have a pole at $t=0$ for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$\begin{cases} f_i = (\alpha_i-\alpha_{i+3}-2\alpha_{i+4}-\alpha_{i+5})\,t^{-1}+\cdots \\ f_{i+1} = \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{\alpha_i-\alpha_{i+3}-2\alpha_{i+4}-\alpha_{i+5}}t+\cdots \\ f_{i+2} = (-\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+3}+2\alpha_{i+4}+\alpha_{i+5})\,t^{-1}+\cdots \\ f_{i+3} = (-\alpha_i-2\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i+2}+\alpha_{i+4})\,t^{-1}+\cdots \\ f_{i+4} = \frac{\alpha_{i+4}}{-\alpha_i-2\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i+2}+\alpha_{i+4}}t+\cdots \\ f_{i+5} = (\alpha_i+2\alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_{i+2}-\alpha_{i+4})\,t^{-1}+\cdots\,. \end{cases}$$

We denote the case (1) by $(f_i, f_{i+2})_0$ and the case (2) by $(f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})_0$, respectively.

Proof. It can be proved by direct calculation. □

By Proposition 2.1, we can transform a rational solution of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ into a rational solution such that all the rational functions f_i $(i=0,1,2,3,4,5)$ are regular at $t=0$.

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ is a rational solution of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$.*

(1) If f_i, f_{i+2} have a pole at $t=0$ and $\alpha_{i+1}\neq 0$ for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, by s_{i+1}, $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ can be transformed into a rational solution all the rational functions of which are regular at $t=0$.

(2) If $f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}$ have a pole at $t=0$ and $\alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+4}\neq 0$ for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, by $s_{i+1}s_{i+4}$, $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ can be transformed into a rational solution all the rational functions of which are regular at $t=0$.

3 The Laurent Series of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ at $t=c\in\mathbb{C}^*$

In this section, we prove Proposition 3.1, where we calculate the Laurent series of f_j $(j=0,1,2,3,4,5)$ at $t=c\in\mathbb{C}^*$, whose residues are half integers.

Furthermore, from the residue theorem, we prove Corollary 3.2, which we use in order to obtain necessary conditions for $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ to have rational solutions.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that* $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ *is a rational solution of* $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$.
If f_i, f_{i+2} *have a pole at* $t = c$ *for some* $i = 0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$
(1)\begin{cases}
f_i = \frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + \left\{\frac{c}{2} - \frac{1}{4c} + \frac{1}{2c}\left(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - \alpha_{i+5}\right)\right\} + \cdots \\
f_{i+1} = c + \left(1 + 2\alpha_{i+3} + 2\alpha_{i+5}\right)(t-c) + \cdots \\
f_{i+2} = -\frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + \left\{\frac{c}{2} + \frac{1}{4c} - \frac{1}{2c}\left(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - \alpha_{i+5}\right)\right\} + \cdots \\
f_{i+3} = -2\alpha_{i+3}(t-c) + \cdots \\
f_{i+4} = \frac{2\alpha_{i+4}}{3}(t-c) + \cdots \\
f_{i+5} = -2\alpha_{i+5}(t-c) + \cdots,
\end{cases}
$$

or

$$
(2)\begin{cases}
f_i = -\frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} \\
\qquad +\left\{\frac{1}{2c}\left(\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_4 - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{c} - \frac{a_{i+4}}{2c}\left(a_{i+3} - a_{i+5} + c\right) + \frac{c}{2}\right\} + \cdots \\
f_{i+1} = -2\alpha_{i+1}(t-c) + \cdots \\
f_{i+2} = \frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} \\
\qquad +\left\{\frac{-1}{2c}\left(\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_4 - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{\alpha_{i+1}}{c} + \frac{a_{i+4}}{2c}\left(a_{i+3} - a_{i+5} - c\right) + \frac{c}{2}\right\} + \cdots \\
f_{i+3} = q_{0,i+3} + \Big\{q_{0,i+3}q_{0,i+5}\left(\frac{4q_{0,i+4}}{c} - 2\right) + \frac{4q_{0,i+3}}{c}\alpha_{i+1} \\
\qquad\qquad + \frac{2q_{0,i+3}}{c}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - \alpha_{i+5}\right) + 2\alpha_{i+3}\Big\}(t-c) + \cdots \\
f_{i+4} = q_{0,i+4} + \Big\{\frac{2}{c}q_{0,i+4}(c - q_{0,i+4})(q_{0,i+5} - q_{0,i+3}) \\
\qquad\qquad - \frac{a_{i+4}}{c}\left(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - \alpha_{i+5}\right) + 2\alpha_{i+4}\Big\}(t-c) + \cdots \\
f_{i+5} = q_{0,i+5} + \Big\{q_{0,i+3}q_{0,i+5}\left(2 - \frac{4q_{0,i+4}}{c}\right) + \frac{4q_{0,i+5}}{c}\alpha_{i+1} \\
\qquad\qquad + \frac{2q_{0,i+5}}{c}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - \alpha_{i+5}\right) + 2\alpha_{i+5}\Big\}(t-c) + \cdots,
\end{cases}
$$

where $q_{0,i+3},\ q_{0,i+4},\ q_{0,i+5}$ *are arbitrary constants.*

If $f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}$ have a pole at $t = c$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$(3)\begin{cases} f_i = -\frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + \left\{\frac{1}{2c}\left(\alpha_i + 2\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{c}{2}\right\} + \cdots \\ f_{i+1} = -2\alpha_{i+1}(t-c) + \cdots \\ f_{i+2} = \frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + \left\{\frac{-1}{2c}\left(\alpha_i + 2\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{c}{2}\right\} + \cdots \\ f_{i+3} = -\frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + \left\{\frac{1}{2c}\left(-\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + 2\alpha_{i+4} + \alpha_{i+5} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{c}{2}\right\} + \cdots \\ f_{i+4} = -2\alpha_{i+4}(t-c) + \cdots \\ f_{i+5} = \frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + \left\{\frac{-1}{2c}\left(-\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + 2\alpha_{i+4} + \alpha_{i+5} - \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{c}{2}\right\} + \cdots, \end{cases}$$

or

$$(4)\begin{cases} f_i = -\frac{3}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + O(t-c) \\ f_{i+1} = -\frac{2}{3}\alpha_{i+1}(t-c) + \cdots \\ f_{i+2} = \frac{3}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + O(t-c) \\ f_{i+3} = \frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + \left\{\frac{c}{2} - \frac{1}{2c}\left(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - 2\alpha_{i+4} - \alpha_{i+5} + \frac{3}{2}\right)\right\} + \cdots \\ f_{i+4} = c + \left(1 + 2\alpha_i + 4\alpha_{i+1} + 2\alpha_{i+2}\right)(t-c) + \cdots \\ f_{i+5} = -\frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + \left\{\frac{c}{2} + \frac{1}{2c}\left(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - 2\alpha_{i+4} - \alpha_{i+5} + \frac{3}{2}\right)\right\} + \cdots, \end{cases}$$

or

$$(5)\begin{cases} f_i = \frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + \left\{\frac{c}{2} + \frac{-1}{2c}\left(-\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + 2\alpha_{i+4} + \alpha_{i+5} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\} + \cdots \\ f_{i+1} = c + \left(1 + 2\alpha_{i+3} + 4\alpha_{i+4} + 2\alpha_{i+5}\right)(t-c) + \cdots \\ f_{i+2} = -\frac{1}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + \left\{\frac{c}{2} + \frac{1}{2c}\left(-\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + 2\alpha_{i+4} + \alpha_{i+5} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\} + \cdots \\ f_{i+3} = -\frac{3}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + O(t-c) \\ f_{i+4} = -\frac{2}{3}\alpha_{i+4}(t-c) + \cdots \\ f_{i+5} = \frac{3}{2}(t-c)^{-1} + O(t-c). \end{cases}$$

We denote the case (1) by $(f_i, f_{i+2})(I)$, the case (2) by $(f_i, f_{i+2})(II)$, the case (3) by $(f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})(I)$, the case (4) by $(f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})(II)$, the case (5) by $(f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})(III)$, respectively.

Proof. It can be proved by direct calculation. □

If $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ is a rational solution of $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$, it follows from Corollary 1.22 that f_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ are odd functions. Therefore, if $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is a pole of f_j for some $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $-c$ is also a pole of f_j with the same residue. Since the residues at $t = c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ are half integers, from the residue theorem, we get the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2. *If $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is a pole of f_i for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $-c$ is also a pole of f_i and* $\mathrm{Res}_{t=c} f_i = \mathrm{Res}_{t=-c} f_i$. *Since* $\mathrm{Res}_{t=c} f_i$ *is a half integer,* $-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_j - \mathrm{Res}_{t=0} f_j$ *are integers for any $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.*

4 The Laurent Series of The Auxiliary Function H

In this section, we define the auxiliary function H and study the properties of H. This section consists of five subsections. In Subsection 4.1, following Noumi and Yamada [11], we introduce the Hamiltonians h_i $(0 \leq i \leq 5)$ for $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 5}$ and define the auxiliary function H. In Subsection 4.2, we calculate the Laurent series of H at $t = \infty$, whose constant terms are expressed by α_j $(0 \leq j \leq 5)$. In Subsection 4.3, we compute the Laurent series of H at $t = 0$, whose constant terms are expressed by α_j $(0 \leq j \leq 5)$. In Subsection 4.4, we calculate the Laurent series of H at $t = c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, whose residues are expressed by rc $(r \in \mathbb{Q})$. In Subsection 4.5, we explain the relationship between a rational solution and the auxiliary function H.

4.1 The Definition of The Auxiliary Function H

In this subsection, following Noumi and Yamada [11], we introduce the Hamiltonians h_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ of $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 5}$ and define the auxiliary function H.

Noumi and Yamada [11] defined the Hamiltonians h_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ of $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 5}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_i = &\sum_{j=0}^{5} f_j f_{j+1} f_{j+2} f_{j+3} \\
&+ \frac{1}{3}\left(\alpha_{i+1} + 2\alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+4} - \alpha_{i+5}\right) f_i f_{i+1} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\alpha_{i+1} + 2\alpha_{i+2} + 3\alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+4} + 2\alpha_{i+5}\right) f_{i+1} f_{i+2} \\
&- \frac{1}{3}\left(2\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4} + \alpha_{i+5}\right) f_{i+2} f_{i+3} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+4} + 2\alpha_{i+5}\right) f_{i+3} f_{i+4} \\
&- \frac{1}{3}\left(2\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2} + 3\alpha_{i+3} + 2\alpha_{i+4} + \alpha_{i+5}\right) f_{i+4} f_{i+5} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+2} - 2\alpha_{i+4} - \alpha_{i+5}\right) f_{i+5} f_i \\
&+ \frac{1}{3}\left(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+4} - \alpha_{i+5}\right) f_i f_{i+3} + \frac{1}{3}\left(\alpha_{i+1} + 2\alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+4} + 2\alpha_{i+5}\right) f_{i+1} f_{i+4} \\
&- \frac{1}{3}\left(2\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+2} + 2\alpha_{i+4} + \alpha_{i+5}\right) f_{i+2} f_{i+5} + \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5})^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we define $\tilde{h}_i$ and the axillary function H by

$$\tilde{h}_i = h_i - \frac{1}{4}(\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5})^2,$$

and

$$H = \frac{1}{6}\left(\tilde{h}_0 + \tilde{h}_1 + \tilde{h}_2 + \tilde{h}_3 + \tilde{h}_4 + \tilde{h}_5\right),$$

respectively.

If $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ has a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$, it follows from Proposition 1.21 and 2.1 that H can be expanded as

$$H = \begin{cases} h_{\infty,4}t^4 + h_{\infty,2}t^2 + h_{\infty,0} + \cdots & \text{at} \quad t = \infty, \\ h_{0,-2}t^{-2} + h_{0,0} + \cdots & \text{at} \quad t = 0. \end{cases}$$

4.2 The Laurent Series of H at $t = \infty$

In this subsection, we calculate the constant term $h_{\infty,0}$ of H at $t = \infty$ by using the Laurent series of f_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ at $t = \infty$ in Proposition 1.21.

Proposition 4.1. *Type A (1): f_i, f_{i+1} have a pole at $t = \infty$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then,*

$$h_{\infty,0} = -\frac{1}{6}(2\alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+4} + 2\alpha_{i+5}) + \alpha_{i+2}\alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+4}\alpha_{i+5} + \alpha_{i+2}\alpha_{i+5}.$$

Type A (2): f_i, f_{i+3} have a pole at $t = \infty$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then,

$$h_{\infty,0} = \alpha_{i+1}\alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+4}\alpha_{i+5} + \frac{1}{6}(-\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4} - \alpha_{i+5}).$$

Type A (3): $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then,

$$h_{\infty,0} = \frac{1}{6}(-1+\alpha_{i+1}-3\alpha_{i+3}-\alpha_{i+4}-3\alpha_{i+5})+\alpha_{i+3}(\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+4}+\alpha_{i+5})+\alpha_{i+5}(\alpha_{i+2}+\alpha_{i+3}+\alpha_{i+4}).$$

Type B: $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Then,

$$h_{\infty,0} = \frac{1}{12}(\beta^i_{-1} - \gamma^i_{-1}) + \frac{1}{6}(\phi^i_{-1} - \epsilon^i_{-1}) + \frac{1}{4}((\beta^i_{-1})^2 + (\gamma^i_{-1})^2) - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^i_{-1}\phi^i_{-1},$$

where

$$\beta^i_{-1} = -\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4},\ \gamma^i_{-1} = -\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5},\ \epsilon^i_{-1} = 2\alpha_{i+4},\ \phi^i_{-1} = -2\alpha_{i+5}.$$

Type C: all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$. Then,

$$h_{\infty,0} = \frac{1}{3}(2x^2 + 2y^2 + 2z^2 + 2\omega^2 + xy - 2yz + z\omega - 2y\omega + x\omega - 2xz),$$

where

$$x = \alpha_2 - \alpha_4,\ y = \alpha_3 - \alpha_5,\ z = \alpha_0 - \alpha_4,\ \omega = \alpha_1 - \alpha_5.$$

4.3 The Laurent Series of H at $t = 0$

In this subsection, we compute the constant term $h_{0,0}$ of H at $t = 0$ by using the Laurent series of f_j $(0 \le j \le 5)$ at $t = 0$ in Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 4.2. *(1) If all the rational functions of* $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ *are regular at* $t = 0$*,*

$$h_{0,0} = 0,$$

because f_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ *are odd functions.*

(2) If f_i, f_{i+2} *have a pole at* $t = 0$ *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$

$$h_{0,0} = \frac{1}{3}\alpha_{i+1} + \left(\frac{1}{6} - \alpha_{i+1}\right)(\alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}).$$

(3) If $f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}$ *have a pole at* $t = 0$ *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$

$$h_{0,0} = \frac{1}{6} - 2\alpha_{i+1}\alpha_{i+4} + \frac{1}{6}(\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+4}).$$

4.4 The Laurent Series of H at $t = c \in \mathbb{C}^*$

In this subsection, we compute the residues of H at $t = c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ by using the Laurent series of f_j $(0 \le j \le 5)$ at $t = c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ in Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that* f_i, f_{i+2} *have a pole at* $t = c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$*. Then,*

$$\mathrm{Res}_{t=c} H = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}c & \textit{in the case of } (f_i, f_{i+2})(I), \\ \frac{1}{12}c & \textit{in the case of } (f_i, f_{i+2})(II). \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}$ *have a pole at* $t = c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$*. Then,*

$$\mathrm{Res}_{t=c} H = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}c & \textit{in the case of } (f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})(I), \\ \frac{5}{12}c & \textit{in the case of } (f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})(II), \\ \frac{5}{12}c & \textit{in the case of } (f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})(III). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, it follows from Proposition 4.3 that

$$H = h_{\infty,4}t^4 + h_{\infty,2}t^2 + h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,-2}t^{-2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\epsilon_k c_k}{t - c_k} - \frac{\epsilon_k c_k}{t + c_k},$$

where $\epsilon_k = \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, c_k \in \mathbb{C}^*$ $(1 \le k \le n)$.

4.5 Rational Solutions And The Auxiliary Function H

In this subsection, we explain the relationship between rational solutions and the auxiliary function H.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose that $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ has a rational solution such that some rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ have poles in $\mathbb{C}^*$. Then, $6(h_{0,0}-h_{\infty,0})$ is a negative integer.*

Especially, if $h_{0,0}-h_{\infty,0}=0$, all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ are regular in $\mathbb{C}^*$.

Proof. We assume that $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ has a rational solution. Then, we get

$$H = h_{\infty,4}t^4 + h_{\infty,2}t^2 + h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,-2}t^{-2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\epsilon_k c_k}{t-c_k} - \frac{\epsilon_k c_k}{t+c_k},$$

where $\epsilon_k = \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, c_k \in \mathbb{C}^*$ $(1 \le k \le n)$.

By comparing the constant terms of the Laurent series of H at $t=0$, we obtain

$$h_{\infty,0} - 2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon_k = h_{0,0}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$-h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = -2\sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon_k = -\frac{1}{6}m,$$

for a positive integer m. □

5 Necessary Conditions for Type A

In this section, we prove Proposition 5.1, where we show necessary conditions for $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ to have a rational solution of Type A and transform the rational solution into a regular solution, all the rational functions of which are regular at $t=0$.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ has a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type A. Then, by some Bäcklund transformations, $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into a rational solution such that all the rational functions are regular at $t=0$. Furthermore, the parameters α_i $(i=0,1,2,3,4,5)$ satisfy one of the following five conditions:*

(1) for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$\alpha_{i+2},\ \alpha_{i+3},\ \alpha_{i+4},\ \alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(2) for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\alpha_{i+1},\ \alpha_{i+2},\ \alpha_{i+4},\ \alpha_{i+5}\ \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(3) for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\alpha_{i+3},\ \alpha_{i+5},\ \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+4},\ \alpha_i - \alpha_{i+2} \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(4) if $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}$ *have a pole at* $t = \infty$ *and only* (f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}) *have a pole at* $t = 0$ *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+4},\ \alpha_{i+4} + \alpha_{i+5},\ \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1},\ \alpha_i - \alpha_{i+4} \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(5) if f_i, f_{i+3} *have a pole at* $t = \infty$ *and only* $(f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}, f_{i+1})$ *have a pole at* $t = 0$ *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+1},\ \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+5},\ \alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+3},\ \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+4},\ \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+3} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. We prove the case (4). The other cases can be proved in the same way.

By considering π, we assume that f_0, f_1, f_2, f_4 have a pole at $t = \infty$ and only (f_3, f_5) have a pole at $t = 0$. From Corollary 3.2, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_i \in \mathbb{Z}\ (i = 0, 1, 2, 4),\ -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_i - \mathrm{Res}_{t=0} f_i \in \mathbb{Z}\ (i = 3, 5),$$

which implies that

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1,\ \alpha_3 - \alpha_5,\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_2,\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

from Proposition 1.21 and 2.1.

We suppose that $\alpha_4 \neq 0$. From Corollary 2.2, it follows that s_4 transforms $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ into a regular solution. Therefore $s_4(f_i)$ $(i = 0, 1, 2, 4)$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and all the rational functions of $(s_4(f_j))_{0 \le j \le 4}$ are regular at $t = 0$. We express this fact by

$$\begin{aligned} &t = \infty\ (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_4)_\infty \ \xrightarrow{s_4} (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_4)_\infty \\ &t = 0 \quad (f_3, f_5)_0 \qquad\quad \xrightarrow{s_4} \text{regular}. \end{aligned}$$

We set $\hat{\alpha}_j := s_4(\alpha_j)$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. Since all the rational functions of $(s_4(f_i))_{0 \le i \le 5}$ are regular at $t = 0$, it follows from Proposition 1.21 and Corollary 3.2 that

$$\hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\alpha}_4, \hat{\alpha}_0 - \hat{\alpha}_2, \hat{\alpha}_3, \hat{\alpha}_5 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1, \alpha_0 - \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha_4 \neq 0.$$

We suppose that $\alpha_4 = 0$. Since f_3 has a pole at $t = 0$ and $f_3 \not\equiv 0$, it follows from Proposition 1.23 that $\alpha_3 \neq 0$, which implies that

$$\begin{array}{lll} t = \infty \ (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_4)_\infty & \xrightarrow{s_3} & (f_0, f_1)_\infty \\ t = 0 \quad (f_3, f_5)_0 & \xrightarrow{s_3} & (f_3, f_5)_0 \\ \qquad\quad (\alpha_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 5} & \xrightarrow{s_3} & (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3, \alpha_3, \alpha_5). \end{array}$$

We set $\hat{\alpha}_j = s_3(\alpha_j)$. It follows from Corollary 3.2 that

$$\hat{\alpha}_2, \hat{\alpha}_4, \hat{\alpha}_0 - \hat{\alpha}_3, \hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\alpha}_5 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, we obtain $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}\,(j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. By s_4, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} t = \infty \ (f_0, f_1)_\infty & \xrightarrow{s_4} & (f_5, f_0, f_1, f_3)_\infty \\ t = 0 \quad (f_3, f_5)_0 & \xrightarrow{s_4} & \text{regular}. \end{array}$$

$\square$

6 Necessary Conditions for Type B

In this section, we prove Proposition 6.1, where we show necessary conditions for $A_5(f_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 5}$ to have rational solutions of Type B and transform the rational solutions into regular solutions.

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 5}$ has a rational solution $(f_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 5}$ of Type B. Then, by some Bäcklund transformations, $(f_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 5}$ can be transformed into regular solutions.*

(1) if $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and all the rational functions of $(f_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 5}$ are regular at $t = 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4},\ -\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5},\ 2\alpha_{i+4},\ -2\alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(2) if $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and (f_i, f_{i+2}) have a pole at $t = 0$ and α_{i+1} is not zero for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4},\ \alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5},\ 2\alpha_{i+4},\ -2\alpha_{i+5}, \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(3) if $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ and (f_{i+1}, f_{i+3}) have a pole at $t=0$ and α_{i+2} is not zero for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$-\alpha_i-\alpha_{i+2}-\alpha_{i+4},\ -\alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_{i+3}+\alpha_{i+5},\ 2\alpha_{i+4},\ -2\alpha_{i+5}, \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(4) if $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ and (f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}) have a pole at $t=0$ and α_{i+3} is not zero for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$-\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+2}-\alpha_{i+4},\ -\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i+3}+\alpha_{i+5},\ 2\alpha_{i+3}+2\alpha_{i+4},\ -2\alpha_{i+5};$$

(5) if $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ and (f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}) have a pole at $t=0$ and α_{i+4} is not zero for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i+3}-\alpha_{i+5},\ -\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+2}-\alpha_{i+4},\ 2\alpha_{i+3}+2\alpha_{i+4},\ -2\alpha_{i+4} \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(6) if $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ and (f_{i+4}, f_i) have a pole at $t=0$ and α_{i+5} is not zero for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$-\alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_{i+3}+\alpha_{i+5},\ \alpha_i-\alpha_{i+2}+\alpha_{i+4}+2\alpha_{i+5},\ -2\alpha_{i+5},\ -2\alpha_i-2\alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(7) if $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ and (f_{i+5}, f_{i+1}) have a pole at $t=0$ and α_i is not zero for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+2}-\alpha_{i+4},\ -\alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_{i+3}+\alpha_{i+5},\ 2\alpha_{i+4},\ -2\alpha_i-2\alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(8) if $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ and $(f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})$ have a pole at $t=0$ and $\alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+4}$ are not zero for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$-\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i+3}-2\alpha_{i+4}-\alpha_{i+5},\ -\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+2}-\alpha_{i+4},\ 2\alpha_{i+3}+2\alpha_{i+4},\ -2\alpha_{i+4} \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(9) if $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ and $(f_{i+1}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+4}, f_i)$ have a pole at $t=0$ and $\alpha_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+5}$ are not zero for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$-\alpha_i-\alpha_{i+2}-\alpha_{i+4}-2\alpha_{i+5},\ -\alpha_{i+1}+\alpha_{i+3}-\alpha_{i+5},\ -2\alpha_{i+5},\ -2\alpha_i-2\alpha_{i+5}, \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(10) if $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ and $(f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}, f_{i+1})$ have a pole at $t=0$ and α_{i+3}, α_i are not zero for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+2}-\alpha_{i+4},\ -\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i+3}+\alpha_{i+5},\ 2\alpha_{i+3}+2\alpha_{i+4},\ -2\alpha_i-2\alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(11) if $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ has a rational solution and the cases (1), (2), ..., (10) do not occur,

$$2\alpha_0,\ 2\alpha_1,\ 2\alpha_2,\ 2\alpha_3,\ 2\alpha_4,\ 2\alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. We prove the cases (1), (2). The other cases can be proved in the same way.
(1) By π, we assume that f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 have a pole at $t = \infty$ and $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ is regular at $t = 0$. From Proposition 1.21 and Corollary 3.2 it follows that

$$-\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_4,\ -\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5,\ 2\alpha_4,\ -2\alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{6.1}$$

(2) By π, we assume that f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 have a pole at $t = \infty$ and (f_0, f_2) have a pole at $t = 0$.

When $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{llcl}
t = \infty & (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3)_\infty & \xrightarrow{s_1} & (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3)_\infty \\
t = 0 & (f_0, f_2)_0 & \xrightarrow{s_1} & \text{regular} \\
 & (\alpha_j)_{0 \le j \le 5} & \xrightarrow{s_1} & (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1, -\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5).
\end{array}$$

We set $\hat{\alpha}_j := s_1(\alpha_j)$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. The equation (6.1) implies that

$$\hat{\alpha}_1 - \hat{\alpha}_3 - \hat{\alpha}_5,\ \hat{\alpha}_0 - \hat{\alpha}_2 + \hat{\alpha}_4,\ 2\hat{\alpha}_4,\ 2\hat{\alpha}_5 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$-\alpha_0 + \alpha_2 - \alpha_4,\ \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5,\ 2\alpha_4,\ 2\alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We suppose that $\alpha_1 = 0$. We show that $(\alpha_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ is in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^6$ and $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ can be transformed into a regular solution. From Proposition 1.21, 2.1 and Corollary 3.2, it follows that

$$2\alpha_4,\ 2\alpha_5,\ \alpha_0 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{6.2}$$

If $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_0 \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{array}{llcl}
t = \infty & (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3)_\infty & \xrightarrow{s_0} & (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3)_\infty \\
t = 0 & (f_0, f_2)_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & (f_0, f_2)_0 \\
 & (\alpha_j)_{0 \le j \le 5} & \xrightarrow{s_0} & (-\alpha_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 + \alpha_0),
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{llcl}
t = \infty & (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3)_\infty & \xrightarrow{s_1} & (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3)_\infty \\
t = 0 & (f_0, f_2)_0 & \xrightarrow{s_1} & \text{regular} \\
 & (-\alpha_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 + \alpha_0) & \xrightarrow{s_1} & (0, -\alpha_0, \alpha_2 + \alpha_0, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 + \alpha_0).
\end{array}$$

We set $\tilde{\alpha}_j = s_1 s_0(\alpha_j)$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. $(\tilde{\alpha}_j)_{0 \le j \le 5}$ also satisfy (6.1). Therefore, we get

$$-2\alpha_0 - \alpha_3 - \alpha_5,\ -\alpha_0 - \alpha_2 + \alpha_4,\ 2\alpha_4,\ 2\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{6.3}$$

The equations (6.2) and (6.3) imply that $2\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$.

If $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 \neq 0$, we can prove that $(\alpha_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ is in $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^6$ and $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ can be transformed into a regular solution in the same way.

We suppose that $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$. The equation (6.2) implies that α_4, $2\alpha_3$, $2\alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is the case (11). From Proposition 2.1, it follows that $\mathrm{Res}_{t=0} f_0 = -\alpha_3 - \alpha_5$. Since f_0 has a pole at $t = 0$ with the first order, it follows that $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ or $\alpha_5 \neq 0$. When $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_5 \neq 0$, s_5s_3 transforms the rational solution $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ into another rational solution all the rational functions of which are regular at $t = 0$. If $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_5 = 0$, $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ can be transformed into a regular solution by $s_5s_4s_3$. If $\alpha_5 \neq 0$ and $\alpha_3 = 0$, $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ can be transformed into a regular solution by $s_3s_4s_5$. □

7 Necessary Conditions for Type C

In this section, we prove Proposition 7.1, where we show necessary conditions for $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ to have rational solutions of Type C and transform the rational solutions into regular solutions.

Proposition 7.1. *Suppose that $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ has a rational solution $(f_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ of Type C. Then, $(f_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ can be transformed into a rational solution all the rational functions of which are regular at $t = 0$.*

(1) if all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and are regular at $t = 0$,

$$\alpha_2 - \alpha_4 \equiv \frac{n}{3},\ \alpha_3 - \alpha_5 \equiv \frac{m+n}{3},\ \alpha_0 - \alpha_4 \equiv \frac{2m}{3},\ \alpha_1 - \alpha_5 \equiv \frac{n}{3} \bmod \mathbb{Z}\ (m, n = 0, \pm 1);$$

(2) if all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$ and f_k, f_{k+2} have a pole at $t = 0$ for some $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{k+1} + \alpha_{k+2} - \alpha_{k+4} &\equiv \frac{n}{3}, & \alpha_{k+3} - \alpha_{k+5} &\equiv \frac{m+n}{3} \bmod \mathbb{Z}, \\ \alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_{k+4} &\equiv \frac{2m}{3}, & -\alpha_{k+1} - \alpha_{k+5} &\equiv \frac{n}{3} \qquad \bmod \mathbb{Z},\ \alpha_{k+1} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

or for some $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$(\alpha_j, \alpha_{j+1}, \alpha_{j+2}, \alpha_{j+3}, \alpha_{j+4}, \alpha_{j+5}) \equiv \frac{p}{3}(1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0) + \frac{q}{3}(1, 0, -1, -1, 0, 1) \bmod \mathbb{Z}\ (p, q = 0, \pm 1);$$

(3) if all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ *have a pole at* $t=\infty$ *and* $f_k, f_{k+2}, f_{k+3}, f_{k+5}$ *have a pole at* $t=0$ *for some* $k=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$\alpha_{k+1}+\alpha_{k+2}+\alpha_{k+4}\equiv\frac{n}{3},\qquad \alpha_{k+3}-\alpha_{k+5}\equiv\frac{m+n}{3}\mod\mathbb{Z},$$
$$\alpha_k+\alpha_{k+1}+\alpha_{k+4}\equiv\frac{2m}{3},\quad -\alpha_{k+1}-\alpha_{k+4}-\alpha_{k+5}\equiv\frac{n}{3}\qquad\mod\mathbb{Z},\ \alpha_{k+1},\alpha_{k+4}\neq 0,$$

or for some $j=0,1,2,3,4,5$,

$$(\alpha_j,\alpha_{j+1},\alpha_{j+2},\alpha_{j+3},\alpha_{j+4},\alpha_{j+5})\equiv\frac{p}{3}(0,1,1,1,0,0)+\frac{q}{3}(1,1,0,0,0,1)\mod\mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. We prove the case Type (1), (2). The other case can be proved in the same way.

(1) From Proposition 1.21 and Corollary 3.2, it follows that

$$2\alpha_1+\alpha_2-\alpha_4-2\alpha_5=m_0 \tag{7.1}$$
$$2\alpha_2+\alpha_3-\alpha_5-2\alpha_0=m_1 \tag{7.2}$$
$$2\alpha_3+\alpha_4-\alpha_0-2\alpha_1=m_2 \tag{7.3}$$
$$2\alpha_4+\alpha_5-\alpha_1-2\alpha_2=m_3 \tag{7.4}$$
$$2\alpha_5+\alpha_0-\alpha_2-2\alpha_3=m_4 \tag{7.5}$$
$$2\alpha_0+\alpha_1-\alpha_3-2\alpha_4=m_5, \tag{7.6}$$

where m_0,m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4,m_5 are integers. The equations (7.1) and (7.4) imply that

$$3\alpha_1-3\alpha_5\in\mathbb{Z},\quad 3\alpha_2-3\alpha_4\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

The equations (7.1), (7.2) and (7.3) imply that

$$3\alpha_3-3\alpha_5\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

The equations (7.2), (7.3) and (7.4) imply that

$$3\alpha_0-3\alpha_4\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then, we define

$$\alpha_2-\alpha_4=\frac{n_0}{3},\ \alpha_3-\alpha_5=\frac{n_1}{3},\ \alpha_0-\alpha_4=\frac{n_2}{3},\ \alpha_1-\alpha_5=\frac{n_3}{3},$$

where n_0,n_1,n_2,n_3 are integers. By substituting the above equations into (7.1), (7.2), (7.3), (7.4), (7.5) and (7.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} n_0+2n_3&\equiv 0\mod 3\\ 2n_0+n_1-2n_2&\equiv 0\mod 3\\ 2n_1-n_2-2n_3&\equiv 0\mod 3\\ n_0+2n_1-n_2&\equiv 0\mod 3.\end{aligned}$$

By solving this system of equations in $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain

$$(n_0, n_1, n_2, n_3) \equiv n(1,1,0,1) + m(0,1,-1,0) \bmod 3,$$

where $m, n = 0, \pm 1$. Then, we prove the case (1).

(2) By π, we assume that (f_0, f_2) have a pole at $t = 0$. From Proposition 1.21, 2.1 and Corollary 3.2, it follows that

$$\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_3 - \alpha_5,\ -3\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_5,\ -\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4, \alpha_0 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{7.7}$$

We suppose that $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Then, we get

$$\begin{array}{llll}
t = \infty & (f_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 5} & \xrightarrow{s_1} & (f_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 5} \\
t = 0 & (f_0, f_2)_0 & \xrightarrow{s_1} & \text{regular} \\
 & (\alpha_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 5} & \xrightarrow{s_1} & (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1, -\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5).
\end{array}$$

Since all the rational functions of $(s_1(f_i))_{0 \leq i \leq 5}$ are regular at $t = 0$, the parameters $s_1(\alpha_i)$ $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ satisfy the condition of the case (1). Therefore we obtain

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 \equiv \frac{n}{3},\ \alpha_3 - \alpha_5 \equiv \frac{m+n}{3}\ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 - \alpha_4 \equiv \frac{-m}{3},\ -\alpha_1 - \alpha_5 \equiv \frac{n}{3} \bmod \mathbb{Z},$$

We suppose that $\alpha_1 = 0$. The equation (7.7) implies that

$$-\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_5,\ -3\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_5,\ -\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4,\ \alpha_0 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{7.8}$$

If $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_0 \neq 1$, we get

$$\begin{array}{llll}
t = \infty & (f_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 5} & \xrightarrow{s_0} & (f_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 5} \\
t = 0 & (f_0, f_2)_0 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & (f_0, f_2)_0 \\
 & (\alpha_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 5} & \xrightarrow{s_0} & (-\alpha_0, \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 + \alpha_0).
\end{array}$$

We set $\hat{\alpha}_j := s_0(\alpha_j)$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$. The equation (7.7) implies that

$$\hat{\alpha}_1 + 2\hat{\alpha}_3 - \hat{\alpha}_5,\ -3\hat{\alpha}_3 + 3\hat{\alpha}_5,\ -\hat{\alpha}_2 + \hat{\alpha}_3 + \hat{\alpha}_4,\ \hat{\alpha}_0 - \hat{\alpha}_4 - \hat{\alpha}_5 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{7.9}$$

Therefore, the equations (7.8) and (7.9) imply that

$$2\alpha_3 - \alpha_5,\ -3\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_5,\ 3\alpha_0,\ -\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4,\ \alpha_0 - \alpha_4 - \alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{7.10}$$

By s_1, we get

$$\begin{array}{llcl} t=\infty & (f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5} & \xrightarrow{s_1} & (f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5} \\ t=0 & (f_0,f_2)_0 & \xrightarrow{s_1} & \text{regular} \\ & (-\alpha_0,\alpha_0,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4,\alpha_5+\alpha_0) & \xrightarrow{s_1} & (0,-\alpha_0,\alpha_2+\alpha_0,\alpha_3,\alpha_4,\alpha_5+\alpha_0). \end{array}$$

We set $\tilde{\alpha}_j := s_1s_0(\alpha_j)$ $(j=0,1,2,3,4,5)$. Since all the rational functions of $(s_1s_0(f_i))_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ and are regular at $t=0$, it follows that

$$3\tilde{\alpha}_2-3\tilde{\alpha}_4, 3\tilde{\alpha}_3-3\tilde{\alpha}_5, 3\tilde{\alpha}_0-3\tilde{\alpha}_4, 3\tilde{\alpha}_1-3\tilde{\alpha}_5 \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{7.11}$$

The equations (8), (7.10) and (7.11) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &3\alpha_0,\ \alpha_1(=0),\ 3\alpha_2,\ 3\alpha_3,\ 3\alpha_4,\ 3\alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ &\alpha_0-\alpha_2+\alpha_5,\ -\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4,\ \alpha_0-\alpha_4-\alpha_5,\ 2\alpha_3+2\alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

We set $\alpha_k=\frac{n_k}{3}$, $n_k\in\mathbb{Z}$, $(k=0,2,3,4,5)$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_0-n_2+n_5 &\equiv 0 \mod 3 \\ -n_2+n_3+n_4 &\equiv 0 \mod 3 \\ n_0-n_4-n_5 &\equiv 0 \mod 3 \\ n_3+n_5 &\equiv 0 \mod 3. \end{aligned}$$

By solving this system of equations in $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, we get

$$(\alpha_0,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4,\alpha_5) \equiv \frac{p}{3}(1,1,0,1,0)+\frac{q}{3}(1,-1,-1,0,1) \operatorname{mod} \mathbb{Z}\, (p,q=0,\pm 1). \tag{7.12}$$

If $\alpha_1=0$ and $\alpha_2\neq 0$, we can prove that the parameters $(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ satisfy the condition (7.12) in the same way.

We suppose that $\alpha_0=\alpha_1=\alpha_2=0$. The equation (7.7) implies that α_3, α_4, $\alpha_5\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then, the parameters $(0,0,0,\alpha_3,\alpha_4,\alpha_5)$ satisfy the condition (7.12). From Proposition 2.1, it follows that $\mathrm{Res}_{t=0}f_0=-\alpha_3-\alpha_5$. Since f_0 has a pole at $t=0$ with the first order, it follows that $\alpha_3\neq 0$ or $\alpha_5\neq 0$. If $\alpha_3\neq 0$ and $\alpha_5\neq 0$, the rational solution $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ can be transformed into a regular solution by s_5s_3. If $\alpha_3\neq 0$ and $\alpha_5=0$, $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ can be transformed into a regular solution by $s_5s_4s_3$. If $\alpha_3=0$ and $\alpha_5\neq 0$, $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ can be transformed into a regular solution by $s_3s_4s_5$. □

8 Summary of Necessary Conditions

In this section, we summarize necessary conditions for Type A, Type B and Type C. This section consists of four subsections. In Subsection 8.1, following Noumi and Yamada [10], we introduce shift operators of the parameters, α_j $(0 \le j \le 5)$. In Subsection 8.2, 8.3 and 8.4, we treat necessary conditions for Type A, Type B and Type C and transform the parameters $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ into the standard forms.

8.1 Shift Operators

In this subsection, following Noumi and Yamada [10], we introduce shift operators of the parameters, α_j $(0 \le j \le 5)$.

Noumi and Yamada [10] defined the shift operators of the parameters $(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ in the following way:

Proposition 8.1. *For any* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, T_i *denote the shift operators which are defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} &T_1 = \pi s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, && T_2 = s_1 \pi s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2, && T_3 = s_2 s_1 \pi s_5 s_4 s_3, \\ &T_4 = s_3 s_2 s_1 \pi s_5 s_4, && T_5 = s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 \pi s_5, && T_6 = s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1 \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$T_i(\alpha_{i-1}) = \alpha_{i-1} + 1, T_i(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i - 1,\ T_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j\ (j \ne i-1, i).$$

8.2 Summary of Necessary Conditions for Type A

In this subsection, we suppose that for $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$, there exists a rational solution $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ of Type A. From Proposition 5.1, it follows that $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ and $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ can be so transformed that $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ is a regular solution and $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ satisfy one of the following conditions:

(1) for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\alpha_{i+2},\ \alpha_{i+3},\ \alpha_{i+4},\ \alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(2) for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\alpha_{i+1},\ \alpha_{i+2},\ \alpha_{i+4},\ \alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z};$$

(3) for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\alpha_{i+3},\ \alpha_{i+5},\ \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+4},\ \alpha_i - \alpha_{i+2} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In the following proposition, by some Bäcklund transformations, we transform the three kinds of parameters into the two standard forms,

$$(\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad (\alpha_0, 0, 0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0).$$

Proposition 8.2. *Suppose that for $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$, there exists a rational solution of Type A. Then, by some Bäcklund transformations, $(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into one of the following parameters:*

$$\text{(i) } (\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0, 0, 0); \quad \text{(ii) } (\alpha_0, 0, 0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0).$$

Proof. (1) By π, we assume that $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Firstly, by T_6, we have $\alpha_5 = 0$. Secondly, by T_4, we get $\alpha_4 = 0$. Thirdly, by T_3, we obtain $\alpha_3 = 0$. Lastly, by T_2, we have $\alpha_2 = 0$. Since $\sum_{k=0}^{5} \alpha_k = 1$, it follows that

$$(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5} \longrightarrow (\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

(2) By π, we assume that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_4, \alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Firstly, by T_6, we have $\alpha_5 = 0$. Secondly, by T_4, we get $\alpha_4 = 0$. Thirdly, by T_3, we obtain $\alpha_2 = 0$. Lastly, by T_1, we have $\alpha_1 = 0$. Since $\sum_{k=0}^{5} \alpha_k = 1$, it follows that

$$(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5} \longrightarrow (\alpha_0, 0, 0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0).$$

(3) By π, we suppose that $\alpha_0 + \alpha_4, \alpha_0 - \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}$. This condition is equivalent to the following condition:

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_4, \alpha_2 + \alpha_4, \alpha_3, \alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Firstly, by T_4, T_5, we have $\alpha_3 = 0, \alpha_5 = 0$, respectively. Secondly, by T_1, T_2, we get $\alpha_0 + \alpha_4 = 0, \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 = 0$, respectively. Since $\sum_{k=0}^{5} \alpha_k = 1$, it follows that

$$(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5} \longrightarrow (\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0, 0).$$

If $\alpha_0 \neq 0$, by $\pi^2 s_4 s_5 s_3 s_4$, we get $(\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0, 0) \to (\alpha_0, 0, 0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0)$. If $\alpha_0 = 0$, we have $(\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0, 0) = (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. □

8.3 Summary of Necessary Conditions for Type B

In this subsection, we suppose that for $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$, there exists a rational solution $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ of Type B. From Proposition 6.1, it follows that by some Bäcklund transformations, $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ and $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ can be so transformed that $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ is a regular solution and $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ satisfy the following condition:
for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4},\ -\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5},\ 2\alpha_{i+4},\ -2\alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By π, we assume

$$2\alpha_4, 2\alpha_5, -\alpha_0+\alpha_2-\alpha_4, -\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_5 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Firstly, by T_4 and T_6, we have

$$\alpha_4 = 0,\ \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \alpha_5 = 0,\ -\frac{1}{2},$$

respectively. Secondly, by T_1T_2 and T_2T_3, we get

$$\beta^0_{-1} = -\alpha_0+\alpha_2-\alpha_4 = 0,\ 1 \text{ and } \gamma^0_{-1} = -\alpha_1+\alpha_3+\alpha_5 = 0,\ 1,$$

respectively. Then we obtain

$$\epsilon^0_{-1} = 2\alpha_4 = 0,\ 1, \quad \phi^0_{-1} = -2\alpha_5 = 0,\ 1, \quad \beta^0_{-1} = 0, 1, \quad \gamma^0_{-1} = 0,\ 1.$$

Therefore we have only to consider the $2^4 = 16$ cases.

Proposition 8.3. *Suppose that for $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$, there exists a rational solution of Type B. By some Bäcklund transformations, the parameters $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ can be transformed into the following three types:*

$$(1)\,(\alpha_0, -\alpha_0+\frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0+\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0),\ (2)\,(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0),\ (3)\,(\alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0+1, 0, 0, 0).$$

The parameters in the sixteen cases can be transformed into the parameters of the type (1) if and only if α_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ satisfy the following condition:

$$\beta^0_{-1} + \gamma^0_{-1} \equiv 0 \bmod 2.$$

The parameters in the sixteen cases can be transformed into the parameters of the type (2) if and only if α_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ satisfy one of the following conditions:

$$(\beta^0_{-1}, \gamma^0_{-1}, \epsilon^0_{-1}, \phi^0_{-1}) = (0,1,1,0), (0,1,0,1), (0,1,1,1), (1,0,1,0), (1,0,0,1), (1,0,1,1).$$

The parameters in the sixteen cases can be transformed into the parameters of the type (3) if and only if α_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ satisfy one of the following conditions:

$$(\beta^0_{-1}, \gamma^0_{-1}, \epsilon^0_{-1}, \phi^0_{-1}) = (0,1,0,0), (1,0,0,0).$$

Proof. We prove that the proposition is true in the following three cases:
(1) $\beta^0_{-1} = \gamma^0_{-1} = \epsilon^0_{-1} = \phi^0_{-1} = 1$;
(2) $\beta^0_{-1} = 0, \gamma^0_{-1} = 1, \epsilon^0_{-1} = 0, \phi^0_{-1} = 1$;

(3) $\beta^0_{-1} = 0, \gamma^0_{-1} = 1, \epsilon^0_{-1} = \phi^0_{-1} = 0.$
The other cases can be proved in the same way.

(1) Since $\beta^0_{-1} = \gamma^0_{-1} = \epsilon^0_{-1} = \phi^0_{-1} = 1$, it follows that

$$\alpha_2 = \alpha_0 + \frac{3}{2}, \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + \frac{3}{2}, \alpha_4 = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_5 = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Since $\sum_{j=0}^5 \alpha_j = 1$, it follows that $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_0 - 1$. By $s_2\pi^{-1}T_4^{-1}s_1s_4T_2^{-1}$, we get

$$(\alpha_0, -\alpha_0 - 1, \alpha_0 + \frac{3}{2}, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow (\alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0).$$

(2) Since $\beta^0_{-1} = 0, \gamma^0_{-1} = 1, \epsilon^0_{-1} = 0, \phi^0_{-1} = 1$, it follows that

$$\alpha_2 = \alpha_0, \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + \frac{3}{2}, \alpha_4 = 0, \alpha_5 = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Since $\sum_{j=0}^5 \alpha_j = 1$, we have $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_0$. By $\pi^3 s_2 T_5^{-1} T_4^{-1}$, we get

$$(\alpha_0, -\alpha_0, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{3}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0).$$

(3) Since $\beta^0_{-1} = 0, \gamma^0_{-1} = 1, \epsilon^0_{-1} = \phi^0_{-1} = 0$, it follows that

$$\alpha_2 = \alpha_0, \alpha_3 = \alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0.$$

Since $\sum_{j=0}^5 \alpha_j = 1$, we have $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_0$. By $\pi^{-1}s_1$, we get

$$(\alpha_0, -\alpha_0, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + 1, 0, 0) \longrightarrow (\alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0 + 1, 0, 0, 0).$$

$\square$

8.4 Summary of Necessary Conditions for Type C

In this subsection, we suppose that for $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$, there exists a rational solution $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ of Type C. From Proposition 7.1, it follows that by some Bäcklund transformations, $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ and $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ can be so transformed that $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ is a regular solution and $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ satisfy the following condition:

$$x = \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 \equiv \frac{n}{3},\ y = \alpha_3 - \alpha_5 \equiv \frac{m+n}{3},\ z = \alpha_0 - \alpha_4 \equiv \frac{2m}{3},\ w = \alpha_1 - \alpha_5 \equiv \frac{n}{3} \bmod \mathbb{Z}\,(m, n = 0, \pm 1).$$

We consider the constant term $h_{\infty,0}$ of the Laurent series of H at $t = \infty$ and get the following lemma:

Lemma 8.4. *Suppose that $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ has a regular solution $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ of Type C. Then $m = n$.*

Proof. We set

$$x = \frac{n + 3k_0}{3}, y = \frac{m + n + 3k_1}{3}, z = \frac{2m + 3k_2}{3}, \omega = \frac{n + 3k_3}{3},$$

where $k_j \in \mathbb{Z}\,(j = 0, 1, 2, 3)$. From Proposition 4.1, it follows that

$$h_{\infty,0} = \frac{1}{27}(6n^2 + 6m^2 - 3mn + 9l), \;\; l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The proof of Proposition 4.4 shows that

$$-2\sum_{k=1}^{s} \epsilon_k = -h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = -\frac{1}{27}(6n^2 + 6m^2 - 3mn + 9l),$$

where $\epsilon_k = \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}$ $(1 \leq k \leq s)$. Then, we obtain

$$2n^2 + 2m^2 - mn \equiv 0 \mod 3.$$

Therefore, we have $m \equiv n \mod 3$. □

In order to study regular solutions of Type C, we set

$$\chi := x + y + z + \omega = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 - 2\alpha_4 - 2\alpha_5.$$

By T_4, we have $\chi = 0, \pm 1$. By T_1, T_2, T_3, we get

$$z = \frac{2n}{3}, \quad \omega = \frac{n}{3}, \quad x = \frac{n}{3}, \; (n = 0, \pm 1)$$

respectively. Since T_1, T_2, T_3 preserve the value of χ, we can decide the value of y. Thus, we have only to consider $3 \times 3 = 9$ cases.

Proposition 8.5. *Suppose that for $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$, there exists a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ of Type C. Then, by some Bäcklund transformations, $(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ can be transformed into one of the following parameters:*

(1) $(\alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3})$, *(2)* $(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$,
(3) $(\alpha_4, 0, 0, 1 - \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, *(4)* $(\alpha_4, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$.

The parameters of the nine cases can be transformed into (1) if and only if

$$(\chi, n) = (0,0), (0,-1), (-1,0), (-1,1).$$

The parameters of the nine cases can be transformed into (2) if and only if

$$(\chi, n) = (0,1), (-1,-1).$$

The parameters of the nine cases can be transformed into (3) if and only if

$$(\chi, n) = (1,0).$$

The parameters of the nine cases can be transformed into (4) if and only if

$$(\chi, n) = (1,1), (1,-1).$$

Proof. We prove the proposition is true in the following four cases:

$$(1)\,(\chi, n) = (0,-1), (2)\,(\chi, n) = (0,1), (3)\,(\chi, n) = (1,0), (4)\,(\chi, n) = (1,1).$$

The other cases can be proved in the same way.
(1) Since $(\chi, n) = (0,-1)$, we have

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_1 = \alpha_5 - \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_2 = \alpha_4 - \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_3 = \alpha_5 + \frac{1}{3}.$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{5} \alpha_k = 1$, we get $\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = \frac{1}{3}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5) = (\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4, \alpha_4 - \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4 + \frac{2}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}).$$

By $s_1 s_2 s_1$, we have

$$(\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4, \alpha_4 - \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4 + \frac{2}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}) \longrightarrow (\alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}).$$

(2) Since $(\chi, n) = (0,1)$, we get

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha_4 - \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_1 = \alpha_5 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_2 = \alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_3 = \alpha_5 - \frac{1}{3}.$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{5} \alpha_k = 1$, we obtain $\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 = \frac{1}{3}$. Then, we have

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5) = (\alpha_4 - \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4 + \frac{2}{3}, \alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}).$$

By $s_0 s_3$, we get

$$(\alpha_4-\frac{1}{3},-\alpha_4+\frac{2}{3},\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},-\alpha_4,\alpha_4,-\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3})\longrightarrow(-\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\alpha_4,0,0).$$

(3) Since $(\chi, n)=(1,0)$, we obtain

$$\alpha_0=\alpha_4,\alpha_1=\alpha_5,\alpha_2=\alpha_4,\alpha_3=\alpha_5+1.$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{5}\alpha_k=1$, we have $\alpha_4+\alpha_5=0$. Therefore, we get

$$(\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4,\alpha_5)=(\alpha_4,-\alpha_4,\alpha_4,-\alpha_4+1,\alpha_4,-\alpha_4).$$

By $\pi^{-1}T_4 s_4 s_1$, we obtain

$$(\alpha_4,-\alpha_4,\alpha_4,-\alpha_4+1,\alpha_4,-\alpha_4)\longrightarrow(\alpha_4,0,0,1-\alpha_4,0,0).$$

(4) Since $(\chi, n)=(1,1)$, we have

$$\alpha_0=\alpha_4-\frac{1}{3},\alpha_1=\alpha_5+\frac{1}{3},\alpha_2=\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},\alpha_3=\alpha_5+\frac{2}{3}.$$

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{5}\alpha_k=1$, we get $\alpha_4+\alpha_5=0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$(\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4,\alpha_5)=(\alpha_4-\frac{1}{3},-\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},-\alpha_4+\frac{2}{3},\alpha_4,-\alpha_4).$$

By $\pi^{-1}s_1 s_0 s_4 s_5 s_0$, we have

$$(\alpha_4-\frac{1}{3},-\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},-\alpha_4+\frac{2}{3},\alpha_4,-\alpha_4)\longrightarrow(\alpha_4,\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},-\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},0,0).$$

□

9 Sufficient Conditions for Type A

In this section, we study rational solutions of Type A for (1) $A_5(\alpha_0,1-\alpha_0,0,0,0,0)$ and (2) $A_5(\alpha_0,0,0,1-\alpha_0,0,0)$ in order to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for Type A.

This section consists of three subsections. In Subsection 9.1, we prove lemmas in order to study rational solutions of Type A for (1) $A_5(\alpha_0,1-\alpha_0,0,0,0,0)$ and (2) $A_5(\alpha_0,0,0,1-\alpha_0,0,0)$. In Subsection 9.2 and 9.3, we consider rational solutions of Type A for (1) $A_5(\alpha_0,1-\alpha_0,0,0,0,0)$ and (2) $A_5(\alpha_0,0,0,1-\alpha_0,0,0)$, respectively.

9.1 Lemmas for Sufficient Condition for Type A

In this subsection, we prove lemmas in order to study rational solutions of Type A for (1) $A_5(\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ and (2) $A_5(\alpha_0, 0, 0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0)$.

Lemma 9.1. *Suppose that for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+3}, \alpha_{i+4}, \alpha_{i+5}) = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

If $A_5(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+3}, \alpha_{i+4}, \alpha_{i+5})$ *have a rational solution* $(f_j)_{0 \le j \le 5}$,

$$(f_{i+1}, f_{i+3}), (f_{i+3}, f_{i+5}), (f_{i+5}, f_{i+1}), (f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}, f_{i+5}, f_{i+1}),$$

can have a pole at $t = 0$.

Proof. We calculate the residues of f_j $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ at $t = 0$ in Proposition 2.1 and get the lemma. □

In the following four lemmas, we study rational solutions $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ of Type A which $A_5(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0,)$, $A_5(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $A_5(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ and $A_5(\frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{2}{3}, 0, 0)$ have.

For the purpose, from Proposition 1.21, we have to consider the case in which Type A (1), Type A (2) and Type A (3) occur.

Type A (1): for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, f_i, f_{i+1} have a pole at $t = \infty$.
Type A (2): for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, f_i, f_{i+3} have a pole at $t = \infty$.
Type A (3): for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $f_i, f_{i+1}, f_{i+2}, f_{i+4}$ have a pole at $t = \infty$.

Lemma 9.2. *If* $A_5(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0,)$ *has a rational solution* $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ *of Type A, then,*

$$\begin{aligned}(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5) &= (t, t, 0, 0, 0, 0),\ (0, t, t, 0, 0, 0),\\ &= (0, t, 0, 0, t, 0),\ (t, t, t, 0, -t, 0).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $(f_0, f_1), (f_1, f_2), (f_1, f_4), (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_4)$ have a pole at $t = \infty$, it follows from Proposition 1.21 that

$$\begin{aligned}(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5) &= (t, t, 0, 0, 0, 0),\ (0, t, t, 0, 0, 0),\\ &= (0, t, 0, 0, t, 0),\ (t, t, t, 0, -t, 0),\end{aligned}$$

respectively.

We assume that f_2, f_3 have a pole at $t = \infty$ and show contradiction. The other cases can be proved in the same way.

If f_2, f_3 have a pole at $t = \infty$, it follows from Proposition 1.23 that $f_4 = f_5 = f_0 \equiv 0$. If some rational functions of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ have a pole at $t = 0$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that (f_i, f_{i+2}) or $(f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})$ can have a pole at $t = 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Since $f_4 = f_5 = f_0 \equiv 0$, only (f_1, f_3) can have a pole at $t = 0$. If (f_1, f_3) have a pole at $t = 0$, we get $-h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = \frac{1}{6}$, which contradicts Proposition 4.4. □

Lemma 9.3. *If* $A_5(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},0,0,0,0)$ *has a rational solution* $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ *of Type A, then*

$$(f_0,f_1,f_2,f_3,f_4,f_5)=(t,t,0,0,0,0),$$

and it is unique.

Proof. If f_0,f_1 have a pole at $t=\infty$, it follows from Proposition 1.21 that

$$(f_0,f_1,f_2,f_3,f_4,f_5)=(t,t,0,0,0,0).$$

We assume that $(f_1,f_2)\,\text{or}\,(f_2,f_3)$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ and show contradiction. The other cases can be proved in the same way.

When (f_1,f_2) have a pole at $t=\infty$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 and 4.2 that $-h_{\infty,0}+h_{0,0}>0$, which contradicts Proposition 4.4.

We suppose that (f_2,f_3) have a pole at $t=\infty$. From Proposition 1.21 and Proposition 1.23, it follows that

$$-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_2=-\frac{1}{2},-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_3=\frac{1}{2},f_4=f_5\equiv 0,-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_0=\frac{1}{2},-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_1=\frac{1}{2}.$$

If some rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ have a pole at $t=0$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that (f_i,f_{i+2}) or $(f_i,f_{i+2},f_{i+3},f_{i+5})$ can have a pole at $t=0$ for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$. Since $f_4=f_5\equiv 0$, (f_0,f_2) or (f_1,f_3) can have a pole at $t=0$. When (f_0,f_2) have a pole at $t=0$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 and 4.2 that $-h_{\infty,0}+h_{0,0}=\frac{1}{6}$, which contradicts Proposition 4.4. When (f_1,f_3) have a pole at $t=0$, we get $-h_{\infty,0}+h_{0,0}=\frac{1}{6}$, which contradicts Proposition 4.4. □

The following two lemmas can be proved in the same way.

Lemma 9.4. *If* $A_5(\frac{1}{2},0,0,\frac{1}{2},0,0)$ *has a rational solution* $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ *of Type A, then,*

$$(f_0,f_1,f_2.f_3,f_4,f_5)=(t,0,0,t,0,0)$$

and it is unique.

Lemma 9.5. *If* $A_5(\frac{1}{3},0,0,\frac{2}{3},0,0)$ *has a rational solution* $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ *of Type A, then,*

$$(f_0,f_1,f_2.f_3,f_4,f_5)=(t,0,0,t,0,0),$$

and it is unique.

9.2 Rational Solutions of Type A of $A_5(\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$

In this subsection, we decide rational solutions of Type A for $A_5(\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$.

Proposition 9.6. *For $A_5(\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, there exist rational solutions $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type A and by some Bäcklund transformations, $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into one of the following rational solutions:*

(1) $(t, t, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, *(2)* $(t, 0, 0, t, 0, 0)$, *(3)* $(t, t, t, 0, -t, 0)$.

Proof. We show that the proposition is true if $(f_0, f_1), (f_1, f_2), (f_2, f_3, f_4, f_0)$ have a pole at $t=\infty$. The other cases can be proved in the same way.

If (f_0, f_1) have a pole at $t=\infty$, it follows from Proposition 1.21 that

$$(f_0, f_1, f_2.f_3, f_4, f_5) = (t, t, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

If (f_1, f_2) have a pole at $t=\infty$, it follows from Proposition 1.21 that

$$-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_1 = 0, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_2 = \alpha_0, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_0 = -\alpha_0, f_3 = f_4 = f_5 \equiv 0.$$

If some rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ have a pole at $t=0$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that (f_i, f_{i+2}) or $(f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})$ can have a pole at $t=0$ for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Since $f_3 = f_4 = f_5 \equiv 0$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that only (f_0, f_2) can have a pole at $t=0$.

When (f_0, f_2) have a pole at $t=0$,

$$-h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = -\left(-\frac{1}{3}\alpha_0\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{1}{3},$$

which contradicts Proposition 4.4.

When (f_0, f_2) do not have a pole at $t=0$, all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ are regular at $t=0$. Then, it follows from Corollary 3.2 that $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. By T_1, we have

$$(\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \longrightarrow (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 9.2 that $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into the rational solution of type (1) or (2) or (3).

If (f_2, f_3, f_4, f_0) have a pole at $t=\infty$, it follows from Proposition 1.21 and Proposition 1.23 that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_2 = -\alpha_0, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_3 = 1-\alpha_0, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_4 = -\alpha_0 + 2, \\ &f_5 \equiv 0, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_0 = 2\alpha_0 - 2, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_1 = \alpha_0 - 1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\alpha_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ from Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 3.2. By T_1, we obtain

$$(\alpha_0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \longrightarrow (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \text{ or } (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0).$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 9.2 and 9.3 that $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ can be transformed into the rational solution of type (1) or (2) or (3). ☐

9.3 Rational Solutions of $A_5(\alpha_0, 0, 0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0)$

In this subsection, we decide rational solutions of Type A for $A_5(\alpha_0, 0, 0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0)$.

Proposition 9.7. *For $A_5(\alpha_0, 0, 0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0)$, there exist rational solutions $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ of Type A and by some Bäcklund transformations, $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ can be transformed into one of the following rational solutions:*

$$(1)\,(t, t, 0, 0, 0, 0),\ (2)\,(t, 0, 0, t, 0, 0),\ (3)\,(t, t, t, 0, -t, 0).$$

Proof. We show that the proposition is true if $(f_0, f_3), (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_4)$ have a pole at $t = \infty$. The other cases can be proved in the same way.

If (f_0, f_3) have a pole at $t = \infty$, we get

$$(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5) = (t, 0, 0, t, 0, 0).$$

If (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_4) have a pole at $t = \infty$, it follows from Proposition 1.21 that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_0 = 2\alpha_0 - 2, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_1 = \alpha_0 - 1, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_2 = \alpha_0, \\ &-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_3 = 1 - \alpha_0, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_4 = -3\alpha_0 + 2, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_5 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\alpha_0, \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ or $\alpha_0 \in \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{Z}$ from Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 3.2. By $T_1T_2T_3$, we obtain

$$(\alpha_0, 0, 0, 1-\alpha_0, 0, 0) \longrightarrow (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0), \text{ or } (\frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{2}{3}, 0, 0), \text{ or } (\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0).$$

By π, we have $(\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0) \to (\frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{2}{3}, 0, 0)$. Therefore, from Lemma 9.2, 9.3, 9.4 and 9.5 it follows that $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ can be transformed into the rational solution of type (1) or (2) or (3). ☐

10 Sufficient Condition for Type B

In this section, we study rational solutions of Type B of (1) $A_5(\alpha_0,-\alpha_0+\frac{1}{2},\alpha_0,-\alpha_0+\frac{1}{2},0,0)$, (2) $A_5(\frac{1}{2},0,\frac{1}{2},\alpha_0,0,-\alpha_0)$ and (3) $A_5(\alpha_0,0,-\alpha_0+1,0,0,0)$.

This section consists of four subsections. In Subsections 10.1, we prove lemmas in order to study rational solutions of Type B of (1) $A_5(\alpha_0,-\alpha_0+\frac{1}{2},\alpha_0,-\alpha_0+\frac{1}{2},0,0)$, (2) $A_5(\frac{1}{2},0,\frac{1}{2},\alpha_0,0,-\alpha_0)$ and (3) $A_5(\alpha_0,0,-\alpha_0+1,0,0,0)$. In Subsection 10.2, 10.3 and 10.4, we consider rational solutions of Type B of (1) $A_5(\alpha_0,-\alpha_0+\frac{1}{2},\alpha_0,-\alpha_0+\frac{1}{2},0,0)$, (2) $A_5(\frac{1}{2},0,\frac{1}{2},\alpha_0,0,-\alpha_0)$ and (3) $A_5(\alpha_0,0,-\alpha_0+1,0,0,0)$, respectively.

10.1 Lemmas for Sufficient Conditions for Type B

In this subsection, we prove lemmas in order to study rational solutions of Type B of (1) $A_5(\alpha_0,-\alpha_0+\frac{1}{2},\alpha_0,-\alpha_0+\frac{1}{2},0,0)$, (2) $A_5(\frac{1}{2},0,\frac{1}{2},\alpha_0,0,-\alpha_0)$ and (3) $A_5(\alpha_0,0,-\alpha_0+1,0,0,0)$.

Lemma 10.1. *If $A_5(\frac{1}{2},0,\frac{1}{2},0,0,0)$ has a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$, all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ are regular at $t=0$, or (f_3,f_5) have a pole at $t=0$.*

Proof. By using Proposition 2.1, we calculate the residues of f_i $(i=0,1,2,3,4,5)$ at $t=0$ when

$$(\alpha_0,\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4,\alpha_5)=(\frac{1}{2},0,\frac{1}{2},0,0,0).$$

Then we get the lemma. □

Lemma 10.2. *If $A_5(\frac{1}{2},0,\frac{1}{2},0,0,0)$ has rational solutions $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type B, then*

$$(f_0,f_1,f_2,f_3,f_4,f_5)=\begin{cases}(\frac{t}{2},\frac{t}{2},\frac{t}{2},\frac{t}{2},0,0)\\ (\frac{t}{2},\frac{t}{2},\frac{t}{2},0,0,\frac{t}{2}).\end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $f_i,f_{i+1},f_{i+2},f_{i+3}$ have a pole at $t=\infty$ for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$. If (f_0,f_1,f_2,f_3,f_4) or (f_5,f_0,f_1,f_2) have a pole at $t=\infty$, it follows from Proposition 1.21 and Proposition 1.23 that

$$(f_0,f_1,f_2,f_3,f_4,f_5)=\begin{cases}(\frac{t}{2},\frac{t}{2},\frac{t}{2},\frac{t}{2},0,0),\\ (\frac{t}{2},\frac{t}{2},\frac{t}{2},0,0,\frac{t}{2}),\end{cases}$$

respectively.

We assume that (f_2,f_3,f_4,f_5) have a pole at $t=\infty$ and show contradiction. The other cases can be proved in the same way.

From Proposition 1.21 and Proposition 1.23, it follows that

$$-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_2=-1, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_3=-1, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_4=0, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_5=1, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_0=1, f_1\equiv 0.$$

It follows from Proposition 4.1 that $h_{\infty,0}=0$. Then Lemma 10.2 shows that all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ are regular at $t=0$ or (f_3,f_5) have a pole at $t=0$.

If all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ are regular at $t=0$, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that $-h_{\infty,0}+h_{0,0}=0$. Thus Proposition 4.4 shows that all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ are regular in $\mathbb{C}$, which contradicts the residue theorem.

If (f_3,f_5) have a pole at $t=0$, it follows from Proposition 4.2 that

$$-h_{\infty,0}+h_{0,0}=\frac{1}{6},$$

which contradicts Proposition 4.4. □

In order to study rational solutions of Type B of $A_5(\alpha_0,0,-\alpha_0+1,0,0,0)$, we have the following two lemmas:

Lemma 10.3. $A_5(1,0,0,0,0,0)$ *does not have a rational solution of Type B.*

Proof. We prove that the lemma is true if (f_0,f_1,f_2,f_3) have a pole at $t=\infty$. The other cases can be proved in the same way.

From Proposition 1.21 and Proposition 1.23, it follows that

$$-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_0=0, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_1=-1, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_2=0, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty}f_3=1, f_4\equiv f_5\equiv 0. \quad (10.1)$$

Lemma 9.1 shows that $(f_1,f_3),(f_3,f_5),(f_5,f_1),(f_2,f_4,f_5,f_1)$ can have a pole at $t=0$. Since $f_4\equiv f_5\equiv 0$, all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ are regular at $t=0$ or (f_1,f_3) have a pole at $t=0$.

Suppose that all the rational functions of $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ are regular at $t=0$. Then it follows from Proposition 4.1 and 4.2 that $-h_{\infty,0}+h_{0,0}=-\frac{1}{6}$. Suppose that $\pm c_1,\pm c_2,\ldots,\pm c_n\in\mathbb{C}^*$ are poles of f_i for some $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$ because f_j $(j=0,1,2,3,4,5)$ are odd functions from Corollary 1.22. Let $\epsilon_k c_k$ $(k=1,2,\ldots,n)$ be the residue of H at $t=c_k$. Then it follows from the proof of Proposition 4.4 that

$$-h_{\infty,0}+h_{0,0}=-2\sum_{k=1}^{n}\epsilon_k.$$

Proposition 4.3 shows that ϵ_k $(k=1,2,\ldots,n)$ are $\frac{1}{6}$ or $\frac{1}{12}$ or $\frac{5}{12}$. Since $-h_{\infty,0}+h_{0,0}=-\frac{1}{6}$, H has poles at $t=\pm c$ for some $c\in\mathbb{C}^*$ and the residues of H at $t=\pm c$ are $\pm\frac{1}{12}c$. Then, it follows from Proposition 4.3 that $(f_1, f_3)(II)$ occurs for $t = \pm c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, because $f_4 = f_5 \equiv 0$. Therefore, we have

$$f_0 = \frac{t}{2},\ f_1 = \frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{t-c} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{t+c},\ f_2 = \frac{t}{2},\ f_3 = \frac{t}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{t-c} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{t+c},\ f_4 \equiv f_5 \equiv 0.$$

On the other hand, from Proposition 3.1, it follows that the constant term of the Taylor series of f_2 at $t = \pm c$ are zero, which is contradiction because $f_2(\pm c) = \pm\frac{c}{2}$.

When (f_1, f_3) have a pole at $t = 0$, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that $-h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = -\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{6} = 0$. Then, from Proposition 4.3, all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ are regular in $\mathbb{C}^*$. Thus, it follows from the equation (10.1) and the residue theorem that

$$f_0 = \frac{t}{2},\ f_1 = \frac{t}{2} - \frac{1}{t},\ f_2 = \frac{t}{2},\ f_3 = \frac{t}{2} + \frac{1}{t},\ f_4 \equiv f_5 \equiv 0.$$

By substituting this solution into $A_5(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, we can prove contradiction. □

The following lemma can be proved in the same way.

Lemma 10.4. *$A_5(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $A_5(\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ do not have a rational solution of Type B.*

10.2 Rational Solutions of Type B of $A_5(\alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$

In this subsection, we study rational solutions of Type B of $A_5(\alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$.

Proposition 10.5. *For $A_5(\alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$, there exists a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type B. Furthermore, by some Bäcklund transformations, $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0)$.*

Proof. We prove that the proposition is true if (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) or (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) have a pole at $t = \infty$. The other cases can be proved in the same way.

If (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) have a pole at $t = \infty$, it follows from Proposition 1.21 and Proposition 1.23 that

$$(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5) = (\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0).$$

If (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) have a pole at $t = \infty$, it follows from Proposition 1.21 and Proposition 1.23 that

$$-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_1 = -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_2 = -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_3 = 0, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_4 = 2\alpha_0, f_5 \equiv 0, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_0 = -2\alpha_0.$$

If (f_4, f_0) have a pole at $t=0$, we have

$$-h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = -\left(-\frac{1}{3}\alpha_0\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{3}\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{6}\right) = \frac{1}{6},$$

which contradicts Proposition 4.4. If (f_4, f_0) do not have a pole at $t=0$, it follows from Corollary 3.2 that $\alpha_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.

When $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, by $\pi^{-1}T_1T_3$, we obtain

$$(\alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0) \longrightarrow (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0).$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 10.2 that $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0)$.

When $\alpha_0 \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, by T_1T_3, we have

$$(\alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0) \longrightarrow (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0).$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 10.2 that $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0)$. □

10.3 Rational Solutions of Type B of $A_5(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0)$

In this subsection, we study rational solutions of Type B of $A_5(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0)$.

Proposition 10.6. *If $A_5(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0)$ has a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type B, α_0 is a half integer. Furthermore $(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5)$ and $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0)$ can be transformed into $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0)$ and $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0)$ by some Bäcklund transformations, respectively.*

Proof. We prove that the proposition is true if (f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) have a pole at $t=\infty$. The other cases can be proved in the same way. From Proposition 1.21, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_1 = 2\alpha_0, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_2 = 2\alpha_0, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_3 = 0, \\ &-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_4 = -2\alpha_0 + 1, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_5 = -2\alpha_0, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_0 = -1. \end{aligned}$$

When (f_2, f_4, f_5, f_1) have a pole at $t=0$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 and 4.2 that

$$-h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = -\left(\alpha_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{6}\right) + \left(\alpha_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{6}\right) = \frac{1}{3},$$

which contradicts Proposition 4.4.

We suppose that (f_2, f_4, f_5, f_1) do not have a pole at $t = 0$. it follows from Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 3.2 that $\alpha_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. If $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, by T_4T_5, we obtain

$$(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0) \to (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0).$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 10.2 that $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ can be transformed into $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0)$.

If $\alpha_0 - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$, by T_4T_5, we have

$$(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0) \to (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}).$$

By $\pi^{-2}s_4s_5$, we get

$$(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, -\frac{1}{2}) \to (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0).$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 10.2 that $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ can be transformed into $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0)$. □

10.4 Rational Solutions of Type B of $A_5(\alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0 + 1, 0, 0, 0)$

In this subsection, we study rational solutions of Type B of $A_5(\alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0 + 1, 0, 0, 0)$.

Proposition 10.7. *If $A_5(\alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0+1, 0, 0, 0)$ has a rational solution $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ of Type B, α_0 is a half integer. Furthermore, by some Bäcklund transformations, $(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5)$ and $(\alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0 + 1, 0, 0, 0)$ can be transformed into $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0)$ and $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0)$, respectively.*

Proof. We suppose that the proposition is true if (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) have a pole at $t = \infty$. The other cases can be proved in the same way. From Proposition 1.21 and Proposition 1.23, it follows that

$$-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_0 = 0, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_1 = -2\alpha_0+1, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_2 = 0, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_3 = 2\alpha_0-1, f_4 \equiv f_5 \equiv 0.$$

When (f_1, f_3) have a pole at $t = 0$, it follows from Proposition 2.1, 4.1 and 4.2 that

$$-h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = -\left(\alpha_0^2 - \frac{7}{6}\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{3}\right) + \left(\alpha_0^2 - \frac{7}{6}\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{3}\right) = 0,$$

which implies that all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ are regular in $\mathbb{C}^*$ from Proposition 4.4. Therefore, from Proposition 2.1, it follows that

$$f_0 = \frac{t}{2},\ f_1 = \frac{t}{2} + t^{-1}(-2\alpha_0 + 1),\ f_2 = \frac{t}{2},\ f_3 = \frac{t}{2} + t^{-1}(2\alpha_0 - 1),\ f_4 \equiv f_5 \equiv 0.$$

By substituting this solution into $A_5(\alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0 + 1, 0, 0, 0)$, we get $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, which is contradiction.

When (f_1, f_3) do not have a pole at $t = 0$, it follows from Corollary 3.2 that $\alpha_0 \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. If $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, by $\pi^{-2}T_1T_2$, we have $(\alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0 + 1, 0, 0, 0) \to (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$. Therefore, $A_5(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ has a rational solution Type B, which contradicts Lemma 10.3.

If $\alpha_0 \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, by T_1T_2, we get $(\alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0 + 1, 0, 0, 0) \to (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0)$. Therefore, from Lemma 10.2, it follows that $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0)$. $\square$

11 Sufficient Conditions for Type C

In this section, we study rational solutions of Type C of (1) $A_5(\alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3})$, (2) $A_5(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, (3) $A_5(\alpha_4, 0, 0, 1 - \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, and (4) $A_5(\alpha_4, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$.

This section consists of five subsections. In Subsection 11.1, we prove lemmas in order to study rational solutions of Type C of (2) $A_5(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, (3) $A_5(\alpha_4, 0, 0, 1 - \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, and (4) $A_5(\alpha_4, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$. In Subsection 11.2, 11.3, 11.4 and 11.5, we consider rational solutions of Type C of (1) $A_5(\alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3})$, (2) $A_5(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, (3) $A_5(\alpha_4, 0, 0, 1 - \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, and (4) $A_5(\alpha_4, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$ respectively.

11.1 Lemmas for Sufficient Condition for Type C

In this subsection, we prove lemmas in order to study rational solutions of Type C of (2) $A_5(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, (3) $A_5(\alpha_4, 0, 0, 1 - \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, and (4) $A_5(\alpha_4, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$.

Lemma 11.1. *$A_5(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ does not have a rational solution of Type C.*

Proof. Suppose that $A_5(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ has a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type C. From Lemma 9.1, it follows that all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ are regular at $t = 0$ or (f_1, f_3), (f_3, f_5), (f_5, f_1), (f_2, f_4, f_5, f_1) have a pole at $t = 0$. We prove that the proposition is true if all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ are regular at $t = 0$. The other cases can be proved in the same way.

We assume that all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ are regular at $t = 0$. From Proposition 1.21, it follows that

$$-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_0 = 0, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_1 = -2, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_2 = -1, \tag{11.1}$$

and

$$-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_3 = 0, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_4 = 1, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_5 = 2. \tag{11.2}$$

From Proposition 4.1 and 4.2 it follows that $-h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = -\frac{2}{3}$. We show that this contradicts Proposition 3.1.

Let $\pm c_1, \pm c_2, \dots, \pm c_n \in \mathbb{C}^*$ be poles of f_i for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. From the proof of Proposition 4.4 it follows that

$$-h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = -2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \epsilon_k,$$

where $\pm \epsilon_k c_k$ $(1 \leq k \leq n)$ are the residues of H at $\pm c_k$, respectively and ϵ_k is $\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}$. Then we consider the following two cases:

(1) $n = 1,\ \epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{6}$;
(2) $n = 4,\ \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4 = \frac{1}{12}$.

If the case (1) occurs, it follows from Proposition 4.3 that $(f_i, f_{i+2})(I)$ or $(f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})(I)$ can occur for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $\pm c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. In the case of $(f_i, f_{i+2})(I)$ and $(f_i, f_{i+2}, f_{i+3}, f_{i+5})(I)$, the residues at $\pm c$ are $\frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$, which contradicts (11.1), (11.2) and the residue theorem.

If the case (2) occurs, it follows from Proposition 4.3 that $(f_{i_1}, f_{i_1+2})(II)$, $(f_{i_2}, f_{i_2+2})(II)$, $(f_{i_3}, f_{i_3+2})(II)$, $(f_{i_4}, f_{i_4+2})(II)$ can occur for some $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and $\pm c_1, \pm c_2, \pm c_3, \pm c_4 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. In this case, the residues at $\pm c_1, \pm c_2, \pm c_3, \pm c_4 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ are $\frac{1}{2}$ or $-\frac{1}{2}$, which contradicts (11.1), (11.2)and the residue theorem. ☐

Lemma 11.2. *$A_5(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0)$ does not have a rational solution of Type C.*

Proof. Suppose that $A_5(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0)$ has a rational solution of Type C. From Proposition 4.1 and 4.2, it follows that $-h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = -\frac{4}{27}, -\frac{1}{27}, -\frac{5}{54}, \frac{1}{54}, \frac{1}{18}, -\frac{1}{18}$, which contradicts Proposition 4.4. ☐

Lemma 11.3. *$A_5(\frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{2}{3}, 0, 0)$ does not have a rational solution of Type C.*

Proof. It can be proved in the same way as Lemma 11.2. ☐

11.2 Rational Solutions of Type C of $A_5(\alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3})$

In this subsection, we study Rational Solutions of Type C of $A_5(\alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3})$.

Proposition 11.4. *For* $A_5(\alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3})$*, there exists a rational solution* $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ *of Type C and*

$$(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5) = (\frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}),$$

and it is unique.

11.3 Rational Solutions of Type C of $A_5(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$

In this subsection, we study rational solutions of Type C of $A_5(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$.

Proposition 11.5. $A_5(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$ *does not have a rational solution of Type C.*

Proof. Suppose that $A_5(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$ have a rational solution of Type C. From Proposition 1.21, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_0 = 1, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_1 = 3\alpha_4, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_2 = 3\alpha_4 - 1, \\ &-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_3 = -1, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_4 = -3\alpha_4, && -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_5 = -3\alpha_4 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

When (f_2, f_4, f_5, f_1) have a pole at $t = 0$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 and 4.2 that

$$-h_{\infty,0} + h_{0,0} = -\left(2\alpha_0^2 - \frac{2}{3}\alpha_4 + \frac{2}{9}\right) + \left(2\alpha_0^2 - \frac{2}{3}\alpha_4 + \frac{2}{9}\right) = 0,$$

which implies that all the rational functions of $(f_i)_{0 \le i \le 5}$ are regular in $\mathbb{C}^*$ from Proposition 4.4. On the other hand, from Proposition 2.1, it follows that

$$-\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_4 - \mathrm{Res}_{t=0} f_4 = -1, -\mathrm{Res}_{t=\infty} f_5 - \mathrm{Res}_{t=0} f_5 = 1,$$

which contradicts the residue theorem.

When (f_2, f_4, f_5, f_1) do not have a pole at $t = 0$, we have $\alpha_4 \in \frac{1}{3}\mathbb{Z}$. If $\alpha_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$, by $T_1T_2T_3$, we get

$$(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0) \longrightarrow (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0).$$

Therefore $A_5(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$ does not have a rational solution of Type C, because from Lemma 11.2, $A_5(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0)$ does not have a rational solution of Type C.

If $\alpha_4 - \frac{1}{3} \in \mathbb{Z}$, by $T_1T_2T_3$, and π, we obtain

$$(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0) \longrightarrow (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0).$$

Therefore $A_5(-\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\alpha_4,0,0)$ does not have a rational solution of Type C, because from Lemma 11.2 that $A_5(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},0,0,0)$ does not have a rational solution of Type C.

If $\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3}\in\mathbb{Z}$, by T_3 and s_1s_2, we have

$$(-\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\alpha_4,0,0)\longrightarrow(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},0,0,0).$$

Therefore $A_5(-\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\alpha_4,0,0)$ does not have a rational solution of Type C, because from Lemma 11.2, $A_5(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},0,0,0)$ does not have a rational solution of Type C. ☐

11.4 Rational Solutions of Type C of $A_5(\alpha_4,0,0,1-\alpha_4,0,0)$

In this subsection, we study rational solutions of Type C of $A_5(\alpha_4,0,0,1-\alpha_4,0,0)$.

Proposition 11.6. $A_5(\alpha_4,0,0,1-\alpha_4,0,0)$ *does not have a rational solution of Type C.*

Proof. It can be proved in the same way as Proposition 11.5. ☐

11.5 Rational Solutions of Type C of $A_5(\alpha_4,\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},-\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},0,0)$

In this subsection, we study rational solutions of Type C of $A_5(\alpha_4,\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},-\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},0,0)$.

Proposition 11.7. $A_5(\alpha_4,\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{3},-\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3},0,0)$ *does not have a rational solution of Type C.*

Proof. It can be proved in the same way as Proposition 11.5. ☐

12 Main Theorems for Type A, Type B and Type C

In this section, we obtain Main Theorems for Type A, Type B and Type C. This section consists of three subsections. In Subsection 12.1, 12.2 and 12.3, we prove Main Theorems for Type A, Type B and Type C, respectively.

12.1 Necessary And Sufficient Conditions for Type A

In this subsection, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ to have rational solutions of Type A.

Theorem 12.1. $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ *has a rational solution* $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ *of Type A if and only if the parameters* α_i $(i=0,1,2,3,4,5)$ *satisfy one of the following five conditions:*
(1) *for some* $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, $\alpha_{i+2},\alpha_{i+3},\alpha_{i+4},\alpha_{i+5}\in\mathbb{Z}$;

(2) *for some* $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, $\alpha_{i+1},\alpha_{i+2},\alpha_{i+4},\alpha_{i+5}\in\mathbb{Z}$;
(3) *for some* $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, $\alpha_{i+3},\alpha_{i+5},\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+4},\alpha_i-\alpha_{i+2}\in\mathbb{Z}$;
(4) *for some* $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, $\alpha_{i+3}+\alpha_{i+4},\alpha_{i+4}+\alpha_{i+5},\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+1},\alpha_i-\alpha_{i+4}\in\mathbb{Z}$;
(5) *for some* $i=0,1,2,3,4,5$, $\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+1},\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+5},\alpha_{i+2}+\alpha_{i+3},\alpha_{i+3}+\alpha_{i+4},\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+3}\in\mathbb{Z}$.

By some Bäcklund transformations, the rational solution $(f_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ *can be transformed into one of the following rational solutions:*

$$\begin{array}{ll}(1) & (t,t,0,0,0,0) \;\; \textit{with} \; (\alpha_0,1-\alpha_0,0,0,0,0)\\ (2) & (t,0,0,t,0,0) \;\; \textit{with} \; (\alpha_0,0,0,1-\alpha_0,0,0)\\ (3) & (t,t,t,0,-t,0) \; \textit{with} \; (0,1,0,0,0,0).\end{array}$$

Proof. Proposition 5.1 shows that one of the conditions (1), (2), …, (5) occurs if $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ have a rational solution of Type A.

Proposition 8.2 proves that if $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ have a rational solution of Type A, the parameters $(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into the two standard forms, $(\alpha_0,1-\alpha_0,0,0,0,0)$ and $(\alpha_0,0,0,1-\alpha_0,0,0)$. Proposition 9.6 and 9.7 proves that $A_5(\alpha_0,1-\alpha_0,0,0,0,0)$ and $A_5(\alpha_0,0,0,1-\alpha_0,0,0)$ have rational solutions $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type A and $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into one of the three rational solutions which are given by

$$(1)\quad (t,t,0,0,0,0),\ (2)\quad (t,0,0,t,0,0),\ (3)\quad (t,t,t,0,-t,0),$$

respectively.

Therefore, if one of the conditions (1), (2), …, (5) occurs, $A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ have a rational solution of Type A and the solution can be transformed into one of the three rational solutions which are given by

$$(1)\quad (t,t,0,0,0,0),\ (2)\quad (t,0,0,t,0,0),\ (3)\quad (t,t,t,0,-t,0).$$

□

Remark
The rational solutions $(t,t,0,0,0,0)$, $(t,0,0,t,0,0)$ correspond to rational solutions of the fifth Painlevé equation.

12.2 Necessary And Sufficient Conditions for Type B

In this subsection, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for Type B. For the purpose, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 12.2. *Suppose that* $2\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$*. By some Bäcklund transformations, the parameters* $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ *can be transformed into*

$$(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0).$$

The parameters $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ *are transformed into* $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0)$ *if and only if for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+3}, \alpha_{i+4}, \alpha_{i+5}) \equiv (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0),\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0),\ (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0) \bmod \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. Since $\sum_{k=0}^{5} \alpha_k = 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+3}, \alpha_{i+4}, \alpha_{i+5}) &\equiv (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), \quad (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0), \quad (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0),\\ &\equiv (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0), \quad (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0),\\ &\equiv (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0) \qquad \bmod \mathbb{Z},\end{aligned}$$

for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. We only prove that for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+3}, \alpha_{i+4}, \alpha_{i+5}) \equiv (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \longrightarrow (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0).$$

The other cases can be proved in the same way.

Since $\sum_{k=0}^{5} \alpha_k = 1$, by π and the shift operators, we get

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5) = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}).$$

By $\pi^{-1} s_4 s_5 s_3$, we get

$$(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) \to (\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0).$$

□

Then, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 12.3. $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ *has a rational solution of Type B if and only if* $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ *satisfy one of the following conditions:*

(1) *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$

$$\begin{aligned}&-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}, -\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}, 2\alpha_{i+4}, -2\alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z},\\ &(-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}) + (-\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}) \in 2\mathbb{Z};\end{aligned}$$

(2) *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{i+1} \neq 0, -\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}, \alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}, 2\alpha_{i+4}, -2\alpha_{i+5}, \in \mathbb{Z},\\ &(-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}) + (\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}) \in 2\mathbb{Z};\end{aligned}$$

(3) *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{i+2} \neq 0, -\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}, -\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}, 2\alpha_{i+4}, -2\alpha_{i+5}, \in \mathbb{Z},\\ &(-\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}) + (-\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}) \in 2\mathbb{Z};\end{aligned}$$

(4) *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{i+3} \neq 0, -\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}, -\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}, 2\alpha_{i+3} + 2\alpha_{i+4}, -2\alpha_{i+5}, \in \mathbb{Z},\\ &(-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}) + (-\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}) \in 2\mathbb{Z};\end{aligned}$$

(5) *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{i+4} \neq 0, \alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - \alpha_{i+5}, -\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}, 2\alpha_{i+3} + 2\alpha_{i+4}, -2\alpha_{i+4} \in \mathbb{Z},\\ &(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - 2\alpha_{i+4} - \alpha_{i+5}) + (-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}) \in 2\mathbb{Z};\end{aligned}$$

(6) *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{i+5} \neq 0, -\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}, \alpha_i - \alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+4} + 2\alpha_{i+5}, -2\alpha_{i+5}, -2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z},\\ &(-\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}) + (\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+2} + \alpha_{i+4} + 2\alpha_{i+5}) \in 2\mathbb{Z};\end{aligned}$$

(7) *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_i \neq 0, \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}, -\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}, 2\alpha_{i+4}, -2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z},\\ &(\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}) + (-\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}) \in 2\mathbb{Z};\end{aligned}$$

(8) *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+4} \neq 0, -\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - 2\alpha_{i+4} - \alpha_{i+5}, -\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}, 2\alpha_{i+3} + 2\alpha_{i+4}, 2\alpha_{i+4} \in \mathbb{Z},\\ &(-\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} - 2\alpha_{i+4} - \alpha_{i+5}) + (-\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}) \in 2\mathbb{Z};\end{aligned}$$

(9) *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\alpha_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+5} \neq 0, -\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4} - 2\alpha_{i+5}, -\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} - \alpha_{i+5}, -2\alpha_{i+5}, -2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+5}, \in \mathbb{Z},\\ &(-\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4} - 2\alpha_{i+5}) + (-\alpha_{i+1} + \alpha_{i+3} - \alpha_{i+5}) \in 2\mathbb{Z};\end{aligned}$$

(10) *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\alpha_{i+3}, \alpha_i \neq 0, \alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}, -\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}, 2\alpha_{i+3} + 2\alpha_{i+4}, -2\alpha_i - 2\alpha_{i+5} \in \mathbb{Z},$$
$$(\alpha_i + \alpha_{i+2} - \alpha_{i+4}) + (-\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+3} + \alpha_{i+5}) \in 2\mathbb{Z};$$

(11) *for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+3}, \alpha_{i+4}, \alpha_{i+5}) \equiv (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0),\ (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0),\ (\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0) \mod \mathbb{Z},$$

where (1), (2),..., (11) in this theorem correspond to (1), (2),..., (11) in Proposition 6.1, respectively.

By some Bäcklund transformations, the rational solution $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ *can be transformed into*

$$(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0) \text{ with } (\alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0).$$

Remark

The rational solution $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0)$ corresponds to a rational solution of the fifth Painlevé equation.

Proof. We prove that the cases (1), (2), ..., (10) and (11) occur, $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ has rational solutions of Type B. For the purpose, we deal with the case (2) and (11). The other cases can be proved in the same way.

If the case (2) occurs, it follows from Proposition 8.3 that the parameters $(\alpha_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into $(\alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$. Proposition 10.5 shows that $A_5(\alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, -\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0)$ has a rational solution of Type B and $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0)$. Therefore, it follows that if the case (2) occurs, $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ has rational solutions of Type B.

If the case (11) occurs, it follows from Lemma 12.2 that the parameters $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ can be transformed into $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0)$. Therefore, it follows that if the case (11) occurs, $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ has rational solutions $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type B and $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ can be transformed into $(\frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, \frac{t}{2}, 0, 0)$.

We prove that if the cases (1), (2), ..., (10) and (11) do not occur, $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ does not have rational solutions $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type B.

Suppose that $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ has rational solutions $(f_i)_{0\le i\le 5}$ of Type B. Then, by Proposition 6.1, we obtain eleven conditions. Furthermore, it follows from Proposition 8.3 that the parameters $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ can be transformed into $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0)$ or $(\alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0+1, 0, 0, 0)$, because the cases (1), (2), ..., (10) and (11) do not occur.

If the parameters $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ are transformed into $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, \alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0)$, it follows from Proposition 10.6 that $2\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}\,(0 \le j \le 5)$ and $(\alpha_j)_{0\le j\le 5}$ are transformed into

$(\frac{1}{2}, 0\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0)$ which contradicts Lemma 12.2 and the assumption that the case (11) does not occur.

If the parameters $(\alpha_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 5}$ are transformed into $(\alpha_0, 0, -\alpha_0 + 1, 0, 0, 0)$, it follows from Proposition 10.7 that $2\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ $(0 \leq j \leq 5)$ and $(\alpha_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 5}$ are transformed into $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0)$ which contradicts Lemma 12.2 and the assumption that the case (11) does not occur.

$\square$

12.3 Necessary And Sufficient Condition for Type C

In this subsection, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for Type C. For the purpose, we have

Lemma 12.4. *Suppose that for some* $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+3}, \alpha_{i+4}, \alpha_{i+5}) &\equiv \frac{p}{3}(1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0) + \frac{q}{3}(1, 0, -1, -1, 0, 1), \text{ or} \\ &\equiv \frac{r}{3}(0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0) + \frac{s}{3}(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1) \bmod \mathbb{Z}, \ (p, q, r, s = 0, \pm 1).\end{aligned}$$

Then, by some Bäcklund transformations, the parameters $(\alpha_j)_{0 \leq j \leq 5}$ *can be transformed into*

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5) = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0), (\frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0).$$

The parameters $(\alpha_i)_{0 \leq i \leq 5}$ *can be transformed into* $(\frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0)$ *if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_i, \alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i+2}, \alpha_{i+3}, \alpha_{i+4}, \alpha_{i+5}) \equiv &\frac{\pm 1}{3}(1, -1, 1, 1, 0, 1), \ \frac{\pm 1}{3}(1, 0, -1, -1, 0, 1), \\ &\frac{\pm 1}{3}(1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0) \bmod \mathbb{Z},\end{aligned}$$

for some $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Proof. We deal with the following two cases:

$$(1) \quad (p, q) = (1, 1), \quad (2) \quad (r, s) = (1, 1).$$

The other cases can be proved in the same way.

(1) By π, we assume that

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5) &\equiv \frac{1}{3}(1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0) + \frac{1}{3}(1, 0, -1, -1, 0, 1) && \bmod \mathbb{Z} \\ &\equiv (\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) && \bmod \mathbb{Z}\end{aligned}$$

By some shift operators T_j $(0 \leq j \leq 5)$, we have

$$(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5} \longrightarrow (\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}).$$

By $\pi s_1 s_2 s_3$, we get

$$(\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) \longrightarrow (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0).$$

(2) By π, we assume that

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5) &\equiv \frac{1}{3}(0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0) + \frac{1}{3}(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1) \bmod \mathbb{Z} \\ &\equiv (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{3})\end{aligned}$$

By some shift operators T_j $(0 \leq j \leq 5)$, we have

$$(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5} \longrightarrow (\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}).$$

By πs_1, we have

$$(\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}) \longrightarrow (\frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0).$$

□

In order to state Theorem 12.5, we define

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{x}_k := \hat{\alpha}_{k+2} - \hat{\alpha}_{k+4},\ \hat{y}_k := \hat{\alpha}_{k+3} - \hat{\alpha}_{k+5},\ \hat{z}_k := \hat{\alpha}_k - \hat{\alpha}_{k+4},\ \hat{\omega}_k := \hat{\alpha}_{k+1} - \hat{\alpha}_{k+5}, \\ &\hat{\chi}_k := \hat{x}_k + \hat{y}_k + \hat{z}_k + \hat{\omega}_k \ (0 \leq k \leq 5),\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\alpha}_k$ $(0 \leq k \leq 5)$ are defined in Theorem 12.5.

Theorem 12.5. *$A_5(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ have a rational solution of Type C, $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ if and only if α_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ satisfy one of the following conditions: for some* $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$,

(1) $\hat{x}_k, \hat{y}_k, \hat{z}_k, \hat{\omega}_k \in \mathbb{Z}, \hat{\chi}_k \in 3\mathbb{Z}$,

(2) $(\hat{x}_k, \hat{y}_k, \hat{z}_k, \hat{\omega}_k) \equiv \frac{1}{3}(-1, 1, 1, -1) \bmod \mathbb{Z},\ \hat{\chi}_k \in 3\mathbb{Z}$,

(3) $\hat{x}_k, \hat{y}_k, \hat{z}_k, \hat{\omega}_k \in \mathbb{Z},\ \hat{\chi}_k + 1 \in 3\mathbb{Z}$,

(4) $(\hat{x}_k, \hat{y}_k, \hat{z}_k, \hat{\omega}_k) \equiv -\frac{1}{3}(-1, 1, 1, -1) \bmod \mathbb{Z},\ \hat{\chi}_k + 1 \in 3\mathbb{Z}$,

(5) $$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{k+2}, \alpha_{k+3}, \alpha_{k+4}, \alpha_{k+5}) &\equiv \pm\frac{1}{3}(1, -1, 1, 1, 0, 1),\ \pm\frac{1}{3}(1, 0, -1, -1, 0, 1), \\ &\equiv \pm\frac{1}{3}(1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0) \bmod \mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\alpha}_k$ $(k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$ *are defined by one of the following equations:*

(i) $\hat{\alpha}_k = \alpha_k$;

(ii) $\hat{\alpha}_k = \alpha_k + \alpha_{k+1}, \hat{\alpha}_{k+1} = -\alpha_{k+1}, \hat{\alpha}_{k+2} = \alpha_{k+2} + \alpha_{k+1}, \hat{\alpha}_{k+3} = \alpha_{k+3},$ $\hat{\alpha}_{k+4} = \alpha_{k+4}, \hat{\alpha}_{k+5} = \alpha_{k+5}, \text{and}\, \alpha_{k+1} \neq 0$;

(iii) $\hat{\alpha}_k = \alpha_{k+1} + \alpha_k, \hat{\alpha}_{k+1} = -\alpha_{k+1}, \hat{\alpha}_{k+2} = \alpha_{k+2} + \alpha_{k+1}, \hat{\alpha}_{k+3} = \alpha_{k+3} + \alpha_{k+4},$ $\hat{\alpha}_{k+4} = -\alpha_{k+4}, \hat{\alpha}_{k+5} = \alpha_{k+5} + \alpha_{k+4}, \text{and}\, \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{k+4} \neq 0$.

By some Bäcklund transformations, $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ *can be transformed into*

$$(\frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}) \textit{ with } (\alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}).$$

Proof. If one of the case (1), (2), ..., (5) occurs, it follows from Proposition 8.5 and Lemma 12.4 that the parameters the parameters $(\alpha_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ can be transformed into $(\alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3})$. Proposition 11.4 shows that $A_4(\alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3})$ has a rational solution $(f_i)_{0\leq i\leq 5}$ of Type C and

$$(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4, f_5) = (\frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3})$$

and it is unique. Thus, if one of the cases (1), (2), ..., (5) occurs, it follows that $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ has a rational solution $(f_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ of Type C and it is unique. Furthermore, $(\alpha_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ and $(f_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ can be transformed into $(\frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3}, \frac{t}{3})$ and $(\alpha_4, -\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3})$, respectively.

We prove that if the case (1), (2), ..., (5) do not occur, $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ does not have a rational solution of Type C. Suppose that $A_5(\alpha_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ have a rational solution of Type C. Then, it follows from Proposition 8.5 the parameters $(\alpha_j)_{0\leq j\leq 5}$ can be transformed into $(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, or $(\alpha_4, 0, 0, 1 - \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, or $(\alpha_4, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$. Proposition 11.5, 11.6 and 11.7 shows that $A_5(-\alpha_4 + \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, or $A_5(\alpha_4, 0, 0, 1 - \alpha_4, 0, 0)$, or $A_5(\alpha_4, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\alpha_4+\frac{1}{3}, 0, 0)$ do not have a rational solution of Type C, which is contradiction. □

References

[1] P. Painlevé, Sur les équations différentiells du second ordre et d'ordre supérieur dont l'intégrale générale est uniforme, Acta Math., 25, 1–85, (1902).

[2] B. Gambier, Sur les equations différentiells du second ordre et du premier degree dont l'intégrale est á points critiques fixes, Acta Math., 33, 1–55, (1909).

[3] V. I. Gromak, Algebraic solutions of the third Painlevé equation (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR 23, 499–502 (1979).

[4] V. I. Gromak, Reducibility of the Painlevé equations, Differential Equations 20, 1191–1198 (1983).

[5] A. V. Kitaev, C. K. Law and J. B. McLeod, Rational solutions of the fifth Painlevé equation, Differential Integral Equations 7, 967–1000 (1994).

[6] K. Matsuda, Rational solutions of the A_4 Painlevé Equation, Proc of the Japan Academy Ser A 81, 85-88 (2005).

[7] K. Matsuda, Rational Solutions of the $A_4^{(1)}$ Painlevé Equation, arXiv:0708.0074.

[8] M. Mazzoco, Rational Solutions of the Painlevé VI Equation, Kowalevski Workshop on Mathematical Methods of Regular Dynamics (Leeds, 2000), J. Phys. A 34, 2281–2294 (2001).

[9] Y. Murata, Rational solutions of the second and the fourth Painlevé equations, Funkcial. Ekvac 28, 1–32 (1985).

[10] M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, Affine Weyl Groups, Discrete Dynamical Systems and Painlevé Equations, Comm. Math. Phys 199, 281–295 (1998).

[11] M. Noumi and Y. Yamada, Higher order Painlevé equations of type $A_l^{(1)}$, Funkcial. Ekvac 41, 483–503 (1998).

[12] K. Okamoto, Studies on the Painleve equations. III. Second and fourth Painleve equations, P_{II} and P_{IV}, Math. Ann 275, 221–255 (1986).

[13] K. Okamoto, Studies on the Painlevé equations. I. Sixth Painleve equation P_{VI}, Ann. Mat. Pure Appl. (4) 146, 337–381 (1987).

[14] K. Okamoto, Studies on the Painleve equations. II. Fifth Painleve equation P_{V}, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) 13, 47–76 (1987).

[15] K. Okamoto, Studies on the Painleve equations. IV. Third Painleve equation P_{III}, Funkcial. Ekvac 30, 305–332 (1987).

[16] A. P. Vorob'ev, On rational solutions of the second Painlevé equation, Diff Eq 1, 58–59 (1965).

[17] A. I. Yablonskii, On rational solutions of the second Painlevé equation (Russian), Vesti. A. N. BSSR, Ser. Fiz–Tekh. Nauk 3, 30–35 (1959).